



Minutes
Village of Glen Ellyn
Regular Workshop Meeting
Monday, April 16, 2018
7:00 PM
Glen Ellyn Civic Center, Galligan Board Room

A. Call to Order

Attendee Name	Title	Status
Diane McGinley	Village President	Present
Bill Enright	Village Trustee	Present
Gary Fasules	Village Trustee	Present
John Kenwood	Village Trustee	Present
Pete Ladesic	Village Trustee	Present
Craig R. Pryde	Village Trustee	Present
Mark Senak	Village Trustee	Present
John Chereskin	Village Clerk	Present
Mark Franz	Village Manager	Present
Greg Mathews	Village Attorney	Present
William Holmer	Assistant Village Manager	Present
Christina Coyle	Finance Director	Remote
Julius Hansen	Public Works Director	Present
Staci Hulseberg	Director	Present
Phil Norton	Chief of Police	Present
Meredith Hannah	Economic Development Coordinator	Present
Megan Plahm	Communications Coordinator	Present

B. Pledge of Allegiance

President McGinley led the Pledge of Allegiance.

C. Roll Call

D. Audience Participation

None

E. Tobacco 21 (Assistant Village Manager Holmer) (Discussion Only) (30 Minutes)

Ordinance No. 6591-VC, An Ordinance to Amend Title 3, Chapter 6 (Comprehensive Regulation of Tobacco Products) of the Village Code Regarding Minimum Age Requirements and Alternative Nicotine Projects

President McGinley stated this discussion is a continuation from the conversation at last week's Village Board Meeting.

Assistant Village Manager Holmer reviewed the information on this proposed ordinance which is to raise the age to 21 for the sale of tobacco products and e-cigarettes (alternative nicotine products). Assistant Village Manager Holmer stated an amendment to the Village Code regulating tobacco products includes redefining the meaning of tobacco products to include alternative nicotine products

such as liquid substances (with and without nicotine) used for vaping.

Village Manager Franz stated letters of invitation to this Workshop discussion were sent to the 15 retailers in the Village that do sell tobacco products.

Trustee Enright asked about the sales tax revenue impact of this. Assistant Village Manager Holmer stated they did not dig into this.

Lisa Hinton, who resides on Main Street in Glen Ellyn, stated her mother is a life-long smoker and has gone through many health issues recently due to her smoking habit. Ms. Hinton stated her mother's surgeon has said that her mother will suffer premature death if she continues to smoke. Ms. Hinton stated Tobacco 21 has shown that teen smoking rates have dropped by over one-third. Ms. Hinton stated if the age is raised to 21, tobacco products would get out of the high schools. Ms. Hinton stated the lives of young people outweigh the other concerns on Tobacco 21.

Justine Drake, who resides in Glen Ellyn and is a part of Reality Illinois, stated 98% of tobacco sales should be unaffected by raising the selling age to 21. Ms. Drake stated towns with less youth smoking do have a higher socioeconomic status. Ms. Drake stated with each town that takes the selling age to 21, the surrounding towns are more likely to adopt Tobacco 21 also. Ms. Drake stated the military has said that soldiers who smoke are not combat-ready, and tobacco is banned during basic training.

Mattie Bertrand, who resides in Glen Ellyn and attends Glenbard West High School, stated she is awaiting a double-lung transplant. Ms. Bertrand stated raising the age to 21 is an important step in protecting young people from a deadly addiction to tobacco products. Ms. Bertrand stated nicotine is addictive, and young adults are more susceptible to nicotine. Ms. Bertrand stated the Village Board should do everything they can to keep young people from starting to use tobacco products.

Trustee Ladesic asked if the selling age for tobacco products is 21 if the ordinance passes. Attorney Mathews stated he does not have a definitive answer on this, but he does think this is correct. Attorney Mathews stated he could not find anything on this from a legal standpoint. Assistant Village Manager Holmer stated he has seen this written two different ways in different ordinances: that a seller must be over the age of 21, or a seller can sell at the age of 18 as long as someone who works in the store is 21 and is present at the store at this time.

Trustee Ladesic stated he is opposed to restricting the rights of law-abiding citizens. Trustee Ladesic stated it is great to see that education is driving teen smoking rates down, and the conversation should shift to increasing education more on teen smoking. Trustee Ladesic stated they need to push parental and personal responsibility of young adults.

Trustee Fasules stated he understands the health argument of this, but he is concerned with the arbitrariness of the age of 21. Trustee Fasules asked when they should stop making laws to protect people who need to take control of their own lives. Trustee Fasules stated the only reason the Village can implement Tobacco 21 is because it is a Home Rule community, but he feels they are not equipped to do this. Trustee Fasules stated he supports different types of activities, such as education and teaching the harms of youth smoking.

Trustee Pryde stated he is generally in support of not encouraging people to smoke and is in favor of creating a healthier environment through his choices. Trustee Pryde stated this is not a political move, but a health-conscious move.

Trustee Enright stated he agrees that he does not want to legislate to 18-year olds; however, he heard from a vast majority of people that they want the age raised to 21, and he is inclined to go with the Village's constituents.

Trustee Kenwood stated it is good that there is an expansion of the definition of tobacco products written in the proposed ordinance. Trustee Kenwood stated he does not like to pass regulations on residents and get in the way of their lives; however, he was a youth smoker and stopped early. Trustee Kenwood stated he hopes they can prevent life-long smokers by enacting Tobacco 21. Trustee Kenwood stated he is generally in favor of this, and they are not telling people they cannot smoke, but they cannot buy in the Village. Trustee Kenwood stated the retailers will need time to figure out how to operationalize this and suggests giving the retailers two months for this. Trustee Kenwood stated 21 is arbitrary, but 21 seems to be the age when a casual smoker crosses to a habitual smoker. Trustee Kenwood asked what would happen if an individual is caught selling to someone under 21 to which Assistant Village Manager Holmer stated the individual selling would be penalized and not the business.

Trustee Pryde asked about wording in the definition of tobacco products. Assistant Village Manager Holmer stated this refers to smoking-cessation products which can be sold to 18-year olds still.

Trustee Senak stated he shares that concerns of Trustees Ladesic and Fasules. Trustee Senak stated any time the Village encroaches on the civil liberties of the residents or elsewhere, the Village Board should do this carefully as once taken, those civil liberties are hard to get back. Trustee Senak stated civil liberties are synonymous with freedom; however, tobacco takes freedom away. Trustee Senak stated he understands the point about the age of 21; however, the Village Board did not pick this age, but the Tobacco Industry continues to target 18- to 21-year olds. Trustee Senak stated the economics are undeniable as the cost of smoking on our society is enormous as compared to the benefit it might derive. Trustee Senak stated he is in favor of Tobacco 21.

Trustee Fasules stated the Village is not equipped to take this on and vet this properly. Trustee Fasules stated if Glen Ellyn was not a Home Rule community, Tobacco 21 would not even be discussed. Trustee Fasules stated he does support and back educational programs.

Trustee Ladesic stated this will negatively impact the retailers in the Village, and he does not want to be a part of this legislation.

President McGinley stated this is an important discussion to have, and there are four Trustees and herself who support this ordinance. President McGinley stated this proposed ordinance will come back to the April 23rd Village Board Meeting to be voted on. President McGinley stated she like Trustee Kenwood's suggestion to give the retailers time to figure this out so she would like to see this ordinance active as of July 1st, 2018. President McGinley stated if the retailers can contact Assistant Village Manager Holmer if they are still have issues with this after July 1st.

Trustee Kenwood stated it would be good to clear the legality piece and clarify what age person can sell cigarettes.

F. Central Business District (CBD) Parking (Village Manager Franz and Planning & Development Director Hulseberg) (Discussion Only) (60 Minutes)

Village Manager Franz and Planning & Development Director Hulseberg will lead a discussion on Central Business District (CBD) Parking.

Village Manager Franz presented background on parking in the Central Business District (CBD) and reviewed the pieces talked about at the previous two Workshops on this.

Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated at a previous meeting, a valet parking program for the CBD was proposed so Walker Consultants did work on this.

Ashley Hiniker, from Walker Consultants, stated she did walk around town on Saturday, February 17th to look at the current parking supply in the study area. Ms. Hiniker stated there are 907 spaces on-street and off-street in this study area, and the results of the study indicate that approximately 76% of the public parking supply is full on peak weekend evenings. Ms. Hiniker stated there are six restaurants or bars that are to open, and when these other six establishments open, this would result in approximately 92% of the parking occupancy.

Ms. Hiniker stated a proposed Valet Program would have two valet stands with one north of the railroad tracks and one south of the railroad tracks. Ms. Hiniker stated each stand would be manned by a supervisor and one to three parking attendants. Ms. Hiniker stated the estimated cost for this first year of the proposed program would be approximately \$121,000 which would cover the manager, labor, insurance and operating supplies. Ms. Hiniker stated a 3rd-party management company would be used for the valet service. Ms. Hiniker stated LaGrange is doing a valet program with this cost being subsidized by their town, and the valet parking is then free to the patrons.

Trustee Fasules asked if a model for total privatization of the valet program had been done to which Ms. Hiniker stated they had not done this model, but could do one. Ms. Hiniker stated the margin on this is very slim.

Trustee Fasules asked what the industry standard is for how many patrons use restaurants valet service. Ms. Hiniker stated this is usually 10% to 15%, but can be up to 50%. Ms. Hiniker stated if valet service is complementary, it is used often by patrons; however, if there is a cost for the valet service, there is a major reduction in use.

Trustee Pryde asked what the response time would be for the valet service based on the proposal for the Village. Ms. Hiniker stated a patron would wait between three to five minutes for the retrieval of their car, and this is why two stations were suggested.

Trustee Senak asked what other suburbs have this type of service. Ms. Hiniker stated many suburbs do have a valet service; however, LaGrange is the only suburb that subsidizes this service for its residents.

Trustee Pryde asked about possible challenges with this program. Ms. Hiniker stated cars can be expensive to repair, and if a repair claim came from the valet service, this could be the Village's biggest vulnerability.

There was a discussion of the cost estimate for the proposed valet service. Village Manager Franz

stated the Duane/Lorraine parking lot and the Montclair parking lot were not included in this study as these locations are too far from the downtown area.

President McGinley asked if Ms. Hiniker had any experience with self-parking and then a shuttle. Ms. Hiniker stated in her experience, a shuttle service can be very expensive.

Trustee Fasules asked what the parking capacity would be if employee parking was moved outward. Ms. Hiniker stated she did not know this.

Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated they can do more research on the valet service in LaGrange as no one has been able to talk to anyone at the Village of LaGrange about this. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated the article written on LaGrange's valet service said that valets were parking over 200 cars a night. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated the staff could ask the businesses to help with the cost of the valet service then patrons of the businesses that do help with the cost could park for free. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated the patrons of businesses that do not participate in the cost of the valet service would then have to pay for the valet service.

Trustee Kenwood asked how hard it is to pilot a valet service program. Ms. Hiniker stated there are many smaller operators that are open to this, and they would need to begin to get proposals from valet companies to gauge the cost.

Trustee Ladesic asked if any businesses in the Village currently do valet service. Trustee Pryde stated he thought Fire and Wine had tried this, but had not been successful.

Trustee Fasules stated there is capacity in the parking lots, and no restaurant is more than a four-block walk from a parking lot.

Trustee Senak stated he is looking at the Chicago Tribune article on LaGrange's valet service, and it seems the sales tax increase was about 6% in the first month. Trustee Senak stated according to the article, LaGrange financed their valet service through a sales tax increase. Trustee Senak stated he is supportive of exploring a valet service, but he is not sure the economics of this service makes sense for long-term. Trustee Senak stated the Village could subsidize the cost of a valet service for a period of time, but not permanently.

Trustee Ladesic stated a valet service could be a liability to the Village, and he does not see any net gain on this. Trustee Ladesic stated he is open to testing a valet service; however, he is concerned about the costs. Trustee Ladesic stated if the businesses are open to paying some of the cost for a valet service, he would be open to a test of this service.

Trustee Fasules stated before they explore a valet service, they need to see how to take the employee parking out of the parking capacity and then see what the parking capacity really is. Village Manager Franz stated at the last Village Board Meeting, Customer-Only Parking was changed to 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. Trustee Fasules stated he has a difficult time spending \$121,000 for a valet service.

Trustee Pryde stated a valet service could be utilized while they are building a parking garage or doing another parking option. Trustee Pryde stated he does not think the businesses will see enough benefit of a valet service to pay for this themselves. Trustee Pryde stated he thinks the cost for a valet service will be more than \$121,000.

Trustee Enright stated he sees a lot of available parking in the downtown on weekend nights; however, they could test a valet service for a two or three-month period and then give the businesses the data from the test and see if the businesses would like to help with the valet service cost. Trustee Enright stated if the businesses say the valet service is not worth it then it is probably not worth doing.

Trustee Kenwood stated he is supportive of the pilot, and the Village would need to market this outside of Glen Ellyn. Trustee Kenwood stated the Village should not do a one-year contract at this point.

President McGinley stated the consensus of the Village Board is to gather more information on a possible valet service and contact the restaurants that are open at night about this possible valet service.

Village Manager Franz stated the possible long-term parking solution is to build a parking garage at one of four sites: Crescent Boulevard south of the intersection of Crescent and Glenwood, Main Street by the Flower Clock, Main Street and Pennsylvania Avenue behind the Fire Station or behind the Civic Center. Village Manager Franz referred to the Potential Parking Garage Options: Cost Estimates grid and stated the approximate cost per new space for these four options can be from the mid \$20,000's to the mid \$60,000's. Village Manager Franz stated there was a discussion about the use of private parking lots; however, there was not much interest from the businesses on this, and the staff will continue to explore this option.

Trustee Senak asked about a four or five-level parking garage behind the Civic Center. Trustee Pryde stated each store is approximately 11 feet. Trustee Pryde stated a parking garage behind the Civic Center will depend on the height of the first floor because it would be below grade. Village Manager Franz stated the estimated excavation cost is included in the cost estimate.

President McGinley asked for the Trustees to share their garage location preference and a floor/level preference.

Trustee Pryde stated he looked at the concepts, and the concept for a possible Civic Center garage is good. Trustee Pryde stated if the Civic Center location is used for a parking garage then this allows the primary surface parking lots to become available for economic development. Trustee Pryde stated if the Civic Center parking garage has its first floor below grade then they could get a fifth story on this garage. Trustee Pryde stated a possible parking garage on Crescent would be a challenge to go over the street for this. Trustee Pryde stated he likes the Main/Pennsylvania option; however, a parking garage would prevent economic development on this site. Trustee Pryde he prefers the Civic Center option, and if they do build a parking garage north of the railroad tracks then he would focus on Crescent.

Trustee Enright stated he feels a parking garage discussion is still premature, and they need to get the wayfinding signs up. Trustee Enright stated he sees too many open parking spaces still, and the discussion of two parking garages seems outlandish. Trustee Enright stated if he had to choose a site, he prefers the Main Street Flower Clock option.

Trustee Fasules stated the Village Boards for the past 20 years have been told by the residents that a parking garage is needed; however, there are multiple projects proposed, and the costs are high. Trustee Fasules stated the Village has a lot of wants, but no means. Trustee Fasules stated until they figure out what problem they are really solving then the Village Board cannot pick a possible

parking garage location and possible cost and how to finance a parking garage. Trustee Fasules stated if they did a parking garage on the Montclair lot then there could be a parking level for Glenbard West High School.

President McGinley stated the Village Board cannot solve the problems all at once for all the groups who are affected by parking issues. President McGinley stated they need to prioritize the customers first as the Village has lost possible development due to a lack of customer parking.

Trustee Kenwood stated they need to solve the parking problems for the businesses, patrons and commuters. Trustee Kenwood stated he was against a possible parking garage behind the Civic Center previously; however, the newer proposal makes more sense with less cost and more projected parking spaces. Trustee Kenwood stated he does not want to see anything done with the Main Street Flower Clock option nor the Crescent option. Trustee Kenwood stated if they build a parking garage behind the Civic Center, four levels does sound reasonable, but they could possibly do five levels as well.

Trustee Senak stated the debate is about where the best possible option for parking garage would be, and he feels behind the Civic Center is the best option. Trustee Senak stated behind the Civic Center is the least desirable piece of property for economic development, and this would be centrally located. Trustee Senak stated they will need to provide pedestrian access onto Main Street. Trustee Senak stated they already own the land for a parking garage behind the Civic Center, and the Civic Center should obstruct the view of the parking garage. Trustee Senak stated Main Street Flower Clock and Crescent should be preserved for economic development. Trustee Senak stated in order to finance the building of a parking garage, he supports a 0.5% food and beverage tax and then bonds could be issued. Trustee Senak stated he sees the building of a parking garage as a higher priority than any other capital projects that have been discussed previously.

Trustee Ladesic stated a parking garage behind the Civic Center would address a most immediate need, and they do need to address immediate and long-term parking needs. Trustee Ladesic stated a parking garage behind the Civic Center does free up the other parking lots for possible income-producing properties. Trustee Ladesic stated the residents have asked for a parking garage for many years. Trustee Ladesic stated a possible parking garage behind the Civic Center could be one level below grade and then three levels above grade. Trustee Ladesic stated they could do a referendum question on funding for a parking garage to see what the residents would support. Village Manager Franz stated the Capital Improvements Commission did start last week to revisit the Capital Improvements Plan and the possible Capital Improvements projects.

G. Lenox Road Parking (Chief Norton) (Discussion Only) (15 Minutes)

Police Chief Norton will provide an update on Lenox parking.

Police Chief Norton presented background on the Lenox Road Parking changes and stated the Village Board recently approved changes to the parking ordinances on Lenox Road near Lake Ellyn Park. Police Chief Norton stated these changes included adding additional student parking spaces between Hawthorne Boulevard and Linden Avenue as well as adding some spaces in that same area that would be reserved for playground park patrons. Police Chief Norton stated the Village Board wanted to revisit this issue in April to gauge the status of the changes.

Police Chief Norton stated to date, the Village has received a couple of negative responses from the neighbors which were received soon after the changes were implemented. Police Chief Norton stated

one the complaints involved litter on the parkway so the Park District added additional trash receptacles in the area, and this has helped the issue. Police Chief Norton stated Community Service Officer Rick Perez has reported speaking to several residents directly across from the impacted area, and the residents seem to be pleased with the changes.

Sheila Faber, who resides on Park Boulevard, asked what the cost is for the student parking permits. Police Chief Norton stated he was not sure on this as Glenbard West High School gets the money for these permits. Police Chief Norton stated the school does use different factors to figure out which students should get the parking permits. Village Manager Franz stated he has heard the cost is \$100 per parking spot permit.

Trustee Fasules stated the Village Board owes it to the residents to solve this parking problem.

Gloria Gogola, who resides in Glen Ellyn, stated this seems to be an exclusive parking arrangement with the high school, and the people going to the park cannot park anywhere. Ms. Gogola stated Glenbard West High School has a parking dilemma, but the Park District should not have a parking dilemma. Ms. Gogola stated this seems to be a violation of the public's rights, and the 1-hour parking limit for people going to the park is not reasonable. Ms. Gogola stated the Village Board needs to reconsider these parking restrictions.

Linda Sander, who resides at 670 Lenox Road, stated this is a 2-block area with prime access to Lake Ellyn which is now blocked. Ms. Sander stated she is adamantly opposed to these student parking spaces. Ms. Sander stated she is against using spaces that should be reserved for people going to the park for students who may not live in Glen Ellyn and whose parents do not pay Glen Ellyn property taxes. Ms. Sander stated the designated student parking spots are not always 100% full, and Glenbard West needs to do significant research on this parking issue.

Nico Nitti, who resides on Lenox Road, stated he closed on his home two weeks ago and since then, has seen confusion about the parking. Mr. Nitti stated he does not like the exclusiveness of the student parking. Mr. Nitti stated it is not good with kids crossing the street to go to the park, and new student drivers are driving on the street. Mr. Nitti stated he does not know what the solution is, but safety in the area seems rather vague.

Jon Tennant, who resides at 622 Lenox Road, stated he is not pleased with how this has been developed. Mr. Tennant stated on a nice day, there is not enough parking for people going to the park. Mr. Tennant stated many of the student parking spaces are empty each day. Mr. Tennant stated parking on Crescent Boulevard is to be reserved for special events; however, he thinks this should be used for student parking too.

Anthony Lanzillo, who resides at 820 Crescent Boulevard, stated he is a student at Glenbard West. Mr. Lanzillo stated it is interesting that this is a big debate, and many of the students who have the parking permits do not necessarily have after-school jobs.

Ms. Faber stated she walks this area most every day, and there are eight to ten student parking spaces open on Lenox Road on a daily basis. Ms. Faber stated Glenbard West is not doing its job to make more parking spots available for its students, and park parking should be a priority. Ms. Faber asked the Village Board to not open any more parking spots for the students.

President McGinley asked the Village Board for their feedback on the continuation of monitoring this parking program and any possible changes that need to be made. President McGinley stated

these parking changes were made in January, and the Village Board wanted to get feedback at three months into the program to see how it is going.

Trustee Ladesic stated they should continue to monitor this parking program, and he supports re-assessing the program at the end of the school year. Trustee Ladesic asked if signs are posted for the park parking to which Assistant Village Manager Holmer stated they are. Trustee Ladesic asked if there have been complaints from the Park District to which Police Chief Norton stated there have not. Trustee Ladesic stated Glenbard West needs to come up with a plan to address the parking issue.

Trustee Pryde stated it seems that some kinks need to be ironed out from the comments made at tonight's Workshop. Trustee Pryde stated the Village Board has heard that there is not enough parking for people going to the park. Trustee Pryde stated the Village Board needs to direct Glenbard West to take a more serious look at the parking situation, and there may need to be more discussion on this parking situation. Trustee Pryde stated if this parking program is on the Village's streets then maybe the Village should be administering the program.

Trustee Enright stated the student parking spaces should be taken away from Glenbard West and given back to park parking.

Trustee Kenwood stated a conversation with Glenbard West is needed as they need to free up parking spots in the Glenbard West parking lots. Trustee Kenwood stated he suggests cutting down the number of student parking spaces next year from 17 spaces to 10 spaces.

Trustee Senak stated the Village Board needs to help the School District with this parking problem. Trustee Senak stated Glenbard West needs to come up with long-term solutions for this problem as the residents should have priority over the students. Trustee Senak stated the Village Board needs to continue to monitor this program and suggested a possible parking app that would help to solve or alleviate this issue.

President McGinley stated Glenbard West needs to be a bigger partner in this parking issue, and Glenbard West needs to see what other long-term plans can be done. President McGinley stated school is out on May 24th so the program will be done for the school year at that point. President McGinley stated the Village Board will have another discussion on this before renewing the student parking program for the next school year.

H. Fats, Oils and Grease (FOG) (Public Works Director Hansen) (Discussion Only) (15 Minutes)

Public Works Director Julius Hansen will present a proposed ordinance that would regulate businesses that produce Fats, Oils, and Grease (FOG) into the sanitary sewer system.

Public Works Director Hansen presented background on this issue and stated Fats, Oils and Grease (FOG) are generated by the preparation and clean-up of food. Public Works Director Hansen stated FOG causes clogs and obstructions in the sanitary sewer system that can disrupt service for those connected to the impacted portion of the system. Public Works Director Hansen stated restaurants are required by code to have a grease interceptor installed to separate the FOG from wastewater during dish washing, food preparation and clean-up; however, these interceptors can be installed and then forgotten by the business owners so the interceptors need to be monitored by an

inspector to ensure they are installed properly, properly maintained and functioning appropriately. Public Works Director Hansen stated the Village does not have a formal program or an ordinance to regulate this issue and provide proper oversight; however, in conjunction with the Village of Lombard, the Glenbard Wastewater Authority (GWA) has hired a professional to establish this program and oversee its success.

Public Works Director Hansen stated Public Works is recommending a FOG inspection program with GWA administering the program. Public Works Director Hansen stated Lombard and Glen Ellyn has worked on this ordinance collectively, and the program will consist of inspection and maintenance monitoring of these interceptors. Public Works Director Hansen stated this program could equal a total annual savings of \$4,500.

Public Works Director Hansen stated Planning and Development has also vetted this program with the Building Board of Appeals, and everyone supports the need for this program.

Trustee Ladesic asked about current Health Department regulations on these interceptors. Public Works Director Hansen stated these interceptors are a part of the Building Code under Health and Sanitation, but the Health Department does not regulate the interceptors. Trustee Pryde stated this is under the Illinois Plumbing Code that is enforceable. Trustee Ladesic asked if Public Works Director Hansen would check with the Health Department on this before the next meeting. Trustee Ladesic asked if other towns have this. Public Works Director Hansen stated he only knows of Lombard since they have worked closely on this.

Trustee Senak stated he thinks this is good, and the cost should be borne by the restaurants and people who create the problem. Public Works Director Hansen stated this should prevent businesses from contaminating the sewer system.

Village Manager Franz stated during the permit process, the Village will ensure that the right-sized trap is installed and then ensure the interceptors are being cleaned out. Village Manager Franz stated the Village is saving money on this since they are doing this program with Lombard. Village Manager Franz stated the burden for this has already been placed on the restaurants by design.

President McGinley stated the consensus of the Village Board is to move forward with this program and put this item on next week's Consent Agenda for a vote. The Village Board agreed with this.

I. Assault Weapons (Village Attorney Mathews) (Discussion Only) (15 Minutes)

Resolution No. 18-07, A Resolution to Encourage the State of Illinois to Consider and Adopt Common Sense Legislation Regarding Weapons.

Attorney Mathews presented background on this proposed resolution and stated a question was presented and discussed at a recent Workshop as to whether the Village has the authority to regulate possession of assault weapons. Attorney Mathews stated the idea was raised to take action on this to let the lawmakers in Springfield know that they should take a hard look at weapons legislation. Attorney Mathews referred to the proposed resolution and stated the two final clauses could be eliminated.

President McGinley stated she is in support of this resolution, and there are 13 public schools in Glen Ellyn that the Village Board is responsible to ensure the safety of. President McGinley stated the Village Board wants to ensure everyone's rights are protected.

Trustee Kenwood asked if it is clear from a legal perspective that the Village has no authority around the regulation and legislation of weapons to which Attorney Mathews stated this is correct.

Tiffany Ruddle, who resides at 560 Taylor Avenue, stated she worries about the topic of weapons as she has a four-year-old who has learned how to do a lockdown drill as well as flee, throw or fight. Ms. Ruddle stated she understands there is a lot of talk about civil liberties with this; however, assault weapons were designed to kill people. Ms. Ruddle stated the proposed resolution would send a message. Ms. Ruddle stated there were many people at the March for our Lives rally, and the residents have shown this is a priority. Ms. Ruddle stated this proposed resolution would carry the Village's voice to Springfield about common sense and safety.

Erica Nelson, who resides at 1734 Kay Road in Wheaton, stated she thinks this proposed resolution is a thoughtful response and shows support of the current statistics. Ms. Nelson stated House Bill 183 pre-empted municipalities from regulating legislation on this. Ms. Nelson stated House Bill 183 did not give municipalities enough time to react to the legislation which stated a municipality had 10 days after the legislation was enacted to do their own municipality ordinance on this. Ms. Nelson stated a partnership with Springfield is important.

Trustee Ladesic stated he does not think this proposed resolution will get unanimous support from the Village Board so they may need to rework this resolution a bit. Trustee Ladesic stated he would like to see language added that would encourage the legislators in Springfield to adopt school safety measures. Trustee Ladesic stated he suggests replacing "assault weapons" with "firearms." Trustee Ladesic stated he does not support the current proposed resolution as written, but he does support common-sense firearm legislation. Trustee Ladesic stated he suggests striking the last two clauses as Attorney Mathews had mentioned.

Trustee Fasules stated he is good with the current proposed resolution or an amended version as he is open to anything. Trustee Fasules stated he would not want to see the Village Board do nothing on this.

Trustee Pryde stated he is generally in support of this proposed resolution, and they should take out "common sense," but could either leave in or take out the last two clauses. Trustee Pryde stated it is a good thing to send a message to the state.

Trustee Enright stated he wants to see the full support of the Village Board on this, and he definitely wants to see something sent to Springfield. Trustee Enright stated he is fine with changing some of the language, and the last two clauses could be removed. Trustee Enright stated he would use the term "firearms," and something about school safety should be included.

Trustee Kenwood stated he has an issue with "assault weapons" and he likes the concept of legislation of firearms. Trustee Kenwood stated he is fine with the first four clauses in the proposed resolution, but would amend the fifth clause, possibly take out the sixth clause, keep the 7th clause and remove the eighth and ninth clauses. Trustee Kenwood stated he is fine with adding an emphasis on safety of the schools and of the community.

Trustee Senak stated he is very happy to see this proposed resolution even though he does fully support the 2nd Amendment; however, but they need to recognize other rights as well such as life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Trustee Senak reviewed several shootings that have happened over the years and stated the elimination of assault weapons will reduce the amount of damage that could be inflicted. Trustee Senak stated the Village Board needs to continue to discuss the funding of

mental health programs and mental health facilities. Trustee Senak stated school safety should be addressed in the resolution, and he is in favor of the term "firearms."

Attorney Mathews stated they would change "assault weapons" to "certain weapons." Attorney Mathews stated as this is being discussed in the Village, it needs to be emphasized that this is a resolution and not just a proclamation. Attorney Mathews stated he would add school safety language. There was a discussion about the wording and clauses to keep or change.

Village Manager Franz asked if it is fine with the Village Board if this proposed resolution goes on next week's Consent Agenda. Trustee Enright stated he would want this as an individual item instead, and the other Trustees agreed.

J. Other Items

None.

K. Adjournment

RESULT:	APPROVED [6 TO 0]
MOVER:	John Kenwood, Village Trustee
SECONDER:	Craig R. Pryde, Village Trustee
AYES:	Enright, Fasules, Kenwood, Ladesic, Pryde, Senak
PRESENT:	McGinley

Respectfully submitted Debbie Solomon, Recording Secretary
Reviewed and approved John A. Chereskin, Village Clerk