



Minutes
Village of Glen Ellyn
Regular Workshop Meeting
Tuesday, January 16, 2018
7:00 PM
Glen Ellyn Civic Center, Room 301

A. Call to Order

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Diane McGinley	Village President	Present	
Bill Enright	Village Trustee	Present	
Gary Fasules	Village Trustee	Present	
John Kenwood	Village Trustee	Absent	
Pete Ladesic	Village Trustee	Present	
Craig R. Pryde	Village Trustee	Present	
Mark Senak	Village Trustee	Present	
John Chereskin	Village Clerk	Present	
Mark Franz	Village Manager	Present	
William Holmer	Assistant Village Manager	Present	
Christina Coyle	Finance Director	Present	
Julius Hansen	Director	Present	
Rich Daubert	Senior Civil Engineer	Present	
Norm Webber	GE PD	Present	
Staci Hulseberg	Director	Present	

B. Pledge of Allegiance

President McGinley asked Public Works Director Hansen to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

C. Roll Call

D. Audience Participation

1. Open:

None.

E. Glen Ellyn Metra Train Station and Pedestrian Underpass Tunnel Project (Professional Engineer Daubert) (Discussion Only)

1. Professional Engineer Daubert will lead a discussion on the Glen Ellyn Metra Train Station and Pedestrian Underpass Tunnel Project.

Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated they will be giving an update on the status of a grade-separated pedestrian crossing in the Central Business District. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated in March 2017, the Village Board approved an agreement with CDM Smith and KMI Architects for the completion of a Phase I (Preliminary) Engineering Study for the Glen Ellyn Metra Train Station and Grade-Separated Pedestrian Crossing Project. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated the project is being administered by a Village work group consisting of two Village Board Trustees as well as staff from Public Works, Planning and Development and Administration.

Benjamin Harvard, with CDM Smith, stated the work over the course of the first four months of the project included contract execution, a kick-off meeting, completion of topographic survey work, preparation of base-sheets depicting the existing station and overall site, drafting an online survey for public outreach and planning/ preparing for the first Public Workshop meeting. Mr. Harvard stated he would be reviewing the why of undertaking this project, alternative for the project and next steps for the project.

Mr. Harvard showed pictures of the site and station the current station was built in the 1960's, and there are issues with connectivity, a grade crossing that was closed several years ago, shelters that do not provide a lot of shelter, accessibility on site and to the site and the wear showing on the platforms and retaining walls.

Trustee Enright asked if Metra is responsible for this station to which Mr. Harvard stated Union Pacific is. President McGinley asked if Glen Ellyn is the last village who does not maintain its own station. Mr. Harvard stated he had heard this as well, but discovered there are a few other villages that do not as well.

Mr. Harvard stated the station is 75% of where the station should be by Metra's standards. Mr. Harvard stated the project objectives when they started the project were to increase the size of the station due to the increase and continued increase in ridership, upgrade seating area amenities and warming shelters, work on the accessibility issues and the safety issues as a grade-crossing was removed several years ago which has led to diverting riders either east or west.

Mr. Harvard reviewed the progress on the project and stated they have done data informational gathering and site surveys. Mr. Harvard stated they also did a public involvement online survey, and they passed out cards at the station and had the survey available for approximately two months. Mr. Harvard stated there have been internal discussions, work group meetings, a meeting with the Capital Improvements Commission and agency coordination. Mr. Harvard stated they will meet with the Illinois Department of Transportation once the Village Board has chosen a preferred alternative for the station and Phase I engineering is done.

Mr. Harvard stated they had a great response from the community on the survey with 860 responses. Mr. Harvard stated they are charts and summaries in the Trustees' packets for each question that was asked on the survey. Mr. Harvard stated most of the respondents do ride Metra four times a week, and most people drive or walk to the station. Mr. Harvard stated most respondents said they are parking to the south.

Mr. Harvard stated he will review how they came up with a master plan for the train station and ways to maximize funding opportunities. Mr. Harvard showed renderings of the site.

Mr. Harvard reviewed Alternative A and stated this includes the construction of a brand new train station, an underpass pedestrian tunnel, replacement of the platforms and extensive site improvements, especially for the parking lots located south of the train station. Mr. Harvard stated for this alternative, the parking lot will be shifted south to allow for a continuous sidewalk along the north edge of the parking lot which also then will require shifting the Illinois Prairie Path slightly to the south. Mr. Harvard stated they will reconfigure the traffic arrangement on Forest, north of Duane Street.

Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated there have been a lot of discussions about how the

parking could be reconfigured and be either at 90 degrees or angled. Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated they decided to maintain the 90-degree parking in order to maximize spaces and allow for two-way traffic.

Trustee Senak asked about the number of pedestrian injuries annually due to train traffic. Mr. Harvard stated there have not been any pedestrian injuries luckily. Trustee Senak asked about any deaths to which Village Manager Franz stated there have been a few deaths in the past 15 years. Trustee Senak asked if doing this project is an issue of project safety or something else. Trustee Pryde stated the issue of increasing public safety is important if you want public funding. Mr. Harvard stated they heard stories from residents at the public meetings, and the Village received clear feedback that there could be an incident in the future.

Trustee Pryde stated he does not see why the Village would replace the train station if one of the goals is not to improve ridership. Village Manager Franz stated there is empirical data that graded-separated crossings are safer than non graded-separated crossings.

Mr. Harvard stated they looked at the difference between ramps and elevators and decided to put ramps in due to possible maintenance issues with elevators. Mr. Harvard stated these ramps do then take up area. Trustee Senak asked if the current station is ADA compliant. Mr. Harvard stated it is not as there is not a clear path to travel from any of the parking spaces into the station, and there are large grades on both sides which these slopes do not meet current accessibility standards. Mr. Harvard stated there is also currently only one restroom in the station. Trustee Pryde stated Alternative A has the biggest scope of work with everything possible included. Trustee Pryde stated the other alternatives are then smaller with pieces of scope removed.

Mr. Harvard reviewed Alternative B and stated this would include renovating the existing train station, underpass pedestrian tunnel, replacement of the platforms and the site improvements described in Alternative A. Mr. Harvard stated this alternative is a more phased approach, and it does take away from potential funding sources. President McGinley asked if the big difference was the retaining walls to which Mr. Harvard stated it is.

Mr. Harvard reviewed Alternative C and stated this would include renovating the existing train station, underpass pedestrian tunnel and limited site improvements. Mr. Harvard stated that again, this alternative would take away more grant pursuits.

Mr. Harvard reviewed Alternative D and stated this would include the underpass pedestrian tunnel and limited site improvements, but no improvements to the existing train station. Mr. Harvard stated they may not need to rebuild the platforms as they could say this is Metra's or Union Pacific's responsibility for these; however, the platforms are included in the cost estimates for now.

Trustee Pryde asked if Alternatives C and D include roof coverings over the ramp portions to which Mr. Harvard stated they do. Trustee Pryde asked if Alternatives C and D include lowering the plaza on the north side. Mr. Harvard stated this is included in Alternative C, but is very limited in Alternative D.

President McGinley stated according to the cost estimates, the underpass will cost approximately \$5,000,000 and asked about grant funding for the tunnel. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated they could receive \$8,000,000 in Surface Transportation (STP) grant funding. Mr. Harvard stated there is also funding through Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) which is a two-year cycle.

Trustee Senak asked if the percentage of funding increases as the cost goes up. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated it would be a greater percentage of the total cost. Trustee Senak asked if with Alternative A, they could get 80% grant funding and then the other 20% from local funding. Mr. Harvard stated this would be the best possible scenario. Senior Professional Civil Engineer stated there are some items that would not qualify for grant funding as they items are not eligible such as utility relocation.

Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated there would need to be some parking lot changes among other things, and they have estimated the Village's cost would be approximately \$7,000,000. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated a good assumption would be that 60% of the project costs would be through grants with the other 40% coming from local funding.

Trustee Fasules asked what it will cost the Village to get to the point where the Village can then go asking for grant funds. Mr. Harvard stated once the Village Board determines that they are comfortable pursuing the all-in plan then Mr. Harvard and team will go through the IDOT process which is already under contract. Trustee Pryde stated Mr. Harvard is just pointing out options if the Village Board decides not to go all-in.

Trustee Ladesic stated the Village of Elmhurst received approximately \$10,000,000 in grants for a similar project, and that village is still applying for grant funds. Mr. Harvard stated Elmhurst has received approximately \$12,400,000 in grant funds as of now.

Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated this does affect the schedule, and they do want to get the underpass constructed as quickly as possible. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated they can go for the CMAQ grant in 2019; however, they may not be successful for the grant at that time. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated approximately \$2,000,000 to \$2,500,000 could come from the Surface Transportation Program; however, they would not find out until October 2021 if they were successful with this grant, unless this program is reinstated sooner. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated the next step is for the Village Board to commit to Phase II as the detailed designs could take up to two year to complete. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated some funding has been secured for the roadway through the Streetscape project. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated if the Village Board does not want to proceed if they know if they received the STP funding then the design work would not start until early 2022. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated it would take 18 to 24 months to complete the design work with construction starting in 2024 with an anticipated completion of 2026.

President McGinley asked for a consensus from the Village Board to go for Alternative A and then continue to commit. The Village Board consensus agreed to this.

Trustee Senak stated the Village's contribution will be approximately \$4,000,000 or above and asked what the return on investment would be for the Village and how this will benefit the Village economically. Mr. Harvard stated a market study was not done on this; however, the connectivity and station have been big issues. Trustee Pryde stated he does not know if an amount can be ascertained on this. Trustee Ladesic asked if the communities who had upgraded their train stations had been looked at.

John Metty, with KMI, stated no professional study has been done on this as it would take years to do a study like this.

President McGinley stated the team will come back to the Village Board once they hear about the CMAQ funding, and the Board will then take another look to see what the success might be for Alternative A. Senior Professional Civil Engineer Daubert stated the work group will continue to evaluate everything. Mr. Harvard stated that before the end of the year, they will start shopping this to the elected officials to get their support for this as well.

Trustee Senak asked what the return on investment might be if the train station was expanded to add retail space. Mr. Harvard stated they did not look at this as they only looked at vendor space which Metra usually accepts readily. Village Manager Franz stated they do not need to compete with the private sector.

F. Lenox Road Parking (Sergeant Webber) (Discussion Only)

1. Sergeant Webber will lead a discussion on Lenox Road parking.

Sergeant Webber reviewed the background on this issue and stated the proposed ordinance for parking on Lenox Road was taken off the January 8th Consent Agenda so it could come back the Village Board for discussion. Sergeant Webber showed a map on the screen of the area and stated this parking would be on Lenox Road between Hawthorne and Linden. Sergeant Webber stated the proposed parking would be student permit parking for the first 10 spots on Lenox north of Hawthorne from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. with 10 one-hour spots by the playground and another seven spots of student permit parking leading up Linden.

Trustee Enright stated some people may stay at the park longer than an hour. Sergeant Webber stated people could stay longer, but these one-hour spaces are really meant to keep the students out of these spots.

Trustee Fasules asked why these student spaces are being added. Village Manager Franz stated the proposal was initiated by the Glen Ellyn Park District to deal with the parking situation. Village Manager Franz stated the staff at Glenbard West High School (GWHS) has tried to find creative ways to meet the demand for more parking.

Chris Mitchell, Assistant Principal of Student Services at Glenbard West High School, stated the school has grown tremendously, and they now have 4,800 students and over 250 staff members. Mr. Mitchell stated there is no student parking spots in the big lot as this is only for staff. Mr. Mitchell stated they do continue to be challenged on the student parking situation as 77% of their students are involved in clubs or activities. Mr. Mitchell stated they are trying to help their students so they would not need to run out during the school days to move their cars.

Sergeant Webber stated there is a map in the packet which shows the parking spots currently available to the students. Trustee Fasules asked about the parking on the south side of Crescent along Memorial Park. Sergeant Webber stated you cannot clog up Crescent with parking due to the amount of cars that travel that way for morning drop-off and afternoon pick-up. Trustee Fasules asked about possible parking on the north side of Crescent. Sergeant Webber stated the same problem happens with drop-off and pick-up.

Trustee Fasules stated he is opposed to these spaces on Lenox and stated he has seen open spots in the staff lot at 8:30 a.m. Trustee Fasules stated there are possible solutions already, and there is no need to expand the parking.

Sheila Faber, who resides at 685 N. Park Boulevard, stated it is an active neighborhood with many young children at the park. Ms. Faber stated it is good that a sidewalk will be put in, but she feels they are taking away park space to put concrete space in. Ms. Faber stated Lake Ellyn is the gem of the Village, but they will not see this due to the parked cars. Ms. Faber stated there are parking spots behind the tennis courts that are not used during the day. Ms. Faber stated they need to be creative about this issue, but does not know if these student spots are a solution. Ms. Faber suggested students parking at Ackerman Park and having the students then bussed in. Ms. Faber stated that possible parking spots could be put on Walnut due to the Taylor Street Underpass expansion.

Trustee Enright asked if anyone knows if Ackerman Park would be open to students parking there. Ms. Faber stated she is not sure if this has been examined or not. Trustee Enright asked about the current parking spots. Sergeant Webber stated there is currently three-hour parking which the students use, and the students then come out and move their cars during the day.

There was a discussion regarding the existing student parking programs.

Ms. Brown, who resides on Lenox Road, stated Lake Ellyn is the jewel of the community and a public park. Ms. Brown stated there has to be other alternatives or creative solutions than this. Ms. Brown stated she is not only concerned about Lenox Road, but Hawthorne and Linden as well. Ms. Brown stated the parallel parking in these spots is a bit tough. Sergeant Webber stated the spaces are 22 feet long.

President McGinley asked if there could be a compromise and have the school pilot this program. Mr. Mitchell stated the school is hoping to have something soon as things keep seeming to be pared down. Mr. Mitchell stated they do asked the students who park on the street to move their cars into the staff parking spots after 3:00 p.m.

Trustee Fasules asked if the school has worked to find internal solutions on this. Mr. Mitchell stated they are looking at these. Mr. Mitchell stated there are vacant spots in the staff lot during the day as they do have teachers who travel between buildings so they cannot give these vacant spots away. Trustee Fasules stated the School Board needs to be in on this solution too.

Trustee Ladesic stated he supports the parking on Lenox Road as a short-term solution. Trustee Ladesic stated that if the park needs more parking spots back, this should possibly be added as a clause in the ordinance. Trustee Ladesic stated a long-term plan then needs to be worked on. Trustee Ladesic stated at the last meeting, there was a lot across from the Administration Building that was identified for parking, and this has been discussed at the School Board level. Trustee Ladesic asked if there were any plans for this.

There was a discussion regarding possible parking in different lots.

Trustee Pryde stated they are only trying to regulate parking to keep spots for the playground and help with possible safety issues with the students moving their cars during the school day. Trustee Pryde suggested putting some seven-hour staff spots on Lenox Road instead.

Trustee Senak stated they should do these parking spots temporarily or for a trial period and then get feedback on how this is going.

President McGinley stated there is enough support from the Village Board to do a trial period for

these spots. President McGinley asked Trustee Fasules to connect with someone from GWHS' staff which she reached out to the School Board. Trustee Fasules stated Trustee Ladesic should be involved as well as Trustee Ladesic has knowledge of the plans and such. Trustee Ladesic stated Public Works should be able to dig up the plans as Bob Minix did a hand sketch and then more formal sketches.

President McGinley asked when the school's third quarter ended to which Mr. Mitchell stated the third quarter ends March 16th with Spring Break from March 24th through April 2nd. Mr. Mitchell stated the fourth quarter starts April 3rd. President McGinley asked if they could come back the April Village Board Workshop to discuss how the trial is going and hopefully have some data by then.

Sergeant Webber asked if the original ordinance can be brought back as originally proposed. President McGinley stated the original ordinance can be used as a pilot program and then at the April Workshop, they will discuss any feedback on the trial and how this is affecting the street. Sergeant Webber asked if this proposed ordinance would be on the January 22, 2018 Village Board Meeting agenda. Village Manager Franz stated it should be, or it will be on the February 12, 2018 agenda. President McGinley stated the Village Board and Village staff are committed to watching this pilot program and hearing resident feedback about this pilot.

G. Strategic Plan (Village Manager Franz) (Discussion Only)

Village Manager Franz stated he has a brief presentation about this and stated a working draft of the 2018 to 2020 Strategic Plan is in the Trustees' packets. Village Manager Franz stated they reset the issues and goals from the 2015 to 2017 Strategic Plan after the Village Board determined last summer that an updated Strategic Plan was needed to develop a road map for the next few years. Village Manager Franz stated the Strategic Plans allow the Village Boards to focus on strategic issues and goals and management to focus on action steps to achieve these goals. Village Manager Franz stated the strategic issues are split into five categories: Infrastructure, Economic Development, Communications and Engagement, Financial Sustainability and the Village Links/Reserve 22. Village Manager Franz stated reviewed each of these main issues and the goals for each one.

Trustee Senak stated College of DuPage (COD) should be added under the Village Links Strategic issue, goal V-4.

Village Manager Franz stated they put this plan together using SMART goals - specific, measurable, actionable, realistic and timely, and the staff is looking for direction from the Village Board on prioritizing these goals. Trustee Senak asked if a Village Board retreat would be done again as it has been in the past to review these goals. President McGinley stated the Village Board did has a lengthy discussion on this last summer during a Workshop, and she wanted that discussion to replace a retreat. President McGinley stated she elected not to do a retreat as the list does not seem to change.

Trustee Ladesic stated there should be more open discussion on this plan as he feels there have been gaps. Trustee Ladesic stated there seem to be some menial tasks on the Strategic Plan that are not meaningful in the Village Board meeting strategic goals. Trustee Ladesic stated Economic Development is the number 1 goal. Trustee Ladesic stated they should streamline the list and choose the top 5 and top 10 and then see if they are working toward these goals and what is the Village Board doing to work toward these goals.

President McGinley stated Village Manager Franz used the list to engage the Village staff to show how the staff is contributing to the vision, mission and goals of the Village. President McGinley stated the action steps are the Village Board's goals, and she does appreciate feedback on this plan.

Trustee Fasules stated the plan is goals with action steps, and he feels this is not really a Strategic Plan for the Village. Trustee Pryde stated there are the big things such as what is the Village Board doing about development and parking.

Planning and Development Director Hulseberg talked through an example of the zoning and planning process and stated there needs to be community involvement early to minimize any possible issues later in the process.

Trustee Fasules stated they were having the same discussions 20 years ago about what needs to be done in the Village, and these things still are not done.

Trustee Enright asked if redoing the zoning process would only affect the Giesche and McChesney properties. Trustee Pryde stated they need to look at the C5A and C5B zoning district in general. Trustee Ladesic stated the Village only owns a certain amount of property in the downtown. Trustee Ladesic stated maybe they do for the downtown what was done for the Five Corners and create some concepts and drawings for the Village would like. Trustee Ladesic stated they then can possibly change the zoning codes.

Trustee Ladesic stated the Village does need a full-time Economic Development function as he feels the Village is missing the boat on some opportunities.

President McGinley asked how long the timeframe would be if staff was directed to change the zoning codes. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated they could change existing heights for certain zoning districts; however, this would need to come bring ideas for discussion before the Plan Commission and receive public input, and Planning and Development Director Hulseberg would like to fold this into a larger process.

Trustee Pryde suggested contacting the Village of Elmhurst and talk to their Planning Department. Trustee Pryde stated Elmhurst saw where communities were headed and mandated the heights of buildings and floors. Trustee Pryde stated building codes do not always align with zoning codes. Trustee Pryde stated building height is only one of the issues that makes things economically unviable in the Village.

Trustee Fasules stated he feels Glen Ellyn is behind other villages, and if we want an economically long-term viable downtown, they need to be more aggressive.

Trustee Senak stated the Village just finished a community survey that showed that 95% of the respondents enjoy Glen Ellyn the way it is. Trustee Senak stated economic development is important, but Glen Ellyn is in a good economic position. Trustee Senak stated the Village Board thinks they are behind, but the residents like where the Village is. Trustee Senak stated they need to approach this in a measured way and evaluate each opportunity as they come.

Trustee Ladesic stated other communities are running circles around Glen Ellyn, and they need to build buildings to get people to the downtown area.

1. Village Manager Franz will lead a discussion on the Village's 2018-2020 Strategic Plan.

President McGinley asked when these discussions could be brought to the Plan Commission and the Village Board. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated they need to fit these meetings in between other development projects in these meetings so possibly 8 to 10 months before it would come before the Village Board. Trustee Pryde stated they need to make a concerted effort to get it going.

Trustee Fasules stated Planning and Development Director Hulseberg to do a comparison to four or five other communities. Trustee Pryde stated they can get knowledge and then come to a Workshop to give an update on possible stumbling blocks, how to focus, etc.

President McGinley asked if they had started the process for the Comprehensive Plan as she knows the Village applied for a grant for this, but was denied. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated this is coming in a meeting packet soon as they need to start drafting an RFP and start looking for consultants. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated they could be back before the Village Board in 60 days with a final RFP. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg in the upcoming staff memo, there is a study of what other communities do. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated they need to update the entire Comprehensive Plan which could take about 18 months to three years. Planning and Development Director Hulseberg stated she thinks it could be done in 18 months, but this will take a significant amount of staff time.

Trustee Pryde stated they need to refine the process and create more flexibility for development that helps streamline the process and addresses economic development.

Trustee Senak stated they need to focus on parking in the downtown as new businesses are opening and new businesses will be coming to the Village. Trustee Senak stated a parking structure and the McChesney development are on the table, and the Village Board should try to get these pieces done while the process is being reviewed.

Trustee Fasules stated the trend is that 30% of shopping centers will go out of business this year. Trustee Fasules stated he wants to know what Glen Ellyn is doing on a macro level to keep things viable.

Karen Gorz, who resides at 570 Crescent Boulevard, stated she is a realtor. Ms. Gorz stated she talked to an elevator repair man the other day who said there is lots of building going on in Elmhurst, Wheaton and Geneva, but not in Glen Ellyn.

H. Discussion

1. Taylor Street Pedestrian Tunnel Update

Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert gave an update on the Taylor Street Pedestrian Tunnel project and stated as the Village received approximately \$1,800,000 in federal funding for this project, the project does need to go through the letting process with the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT). Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated IDOT has been reviewing the project drawings through this process, and the Village is doing everything that we are supposed to during this process. Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated IDOT does have to approve the plans before this project goes out to bid, and a Local Agency Agreement will be

brought before the Village Board for approval at a future meeting.

Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated there are two items they are still working through to get the project to the letting process: the first is a temporary easement is being secured from the properties at the southeast corner of Willis Street and Taylor Avenue, and the second is the Village will have to secure a Construction and Maintenance Agreement from Union Pacific for the construction of the tunnel.

Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated on December 21, 2017, Union Pacific did give full approval of the project's design drawings, and as of January 8, 2018, Union Pacific is starting on drafting the Construction and Maintenance Agreement; however, Union Pacific wants IDOT added as a part of this agreement. Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated IDOT has put in writing that they are not a part of this project.

Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated they are waiting to hear from Union Pacific, and at this point, the Village has missed the deadline to make the March letting. Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated the next letting will be April 27, 2018, so the Construction and Maintenance Agreement would need to be done by early March 2018.

Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated there is an Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) order that says the Village of Glen Ellyn is ultimately giving approval for the construction of this project. Trustee Pryde stated this means the liability falls on the Village.

Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert stated there is a mid-April deadline to get in for the June 15, 2018 letting and then six weeks to award the project.

President McGinley thanked Professional Senior Civil Engineer Daubert for going above and beyond on this project.

2. Credit Cards for Deposits

Trustee Fasules stated when the Village implemented the new rule on credit cards, the Village Board decided not to take credit cards for deposits. Trustee Fasules stated 100% of the deposit is usually returned to why do this policy for deposits. Finance Director stated not all deposits are 100% returned so this is to protect the Village.

3. Three-Minute Clock for Speaking During Meetings

Trustee Fasules asked when the three-minute clock was implemented and if this is a policy or ordinance. Village Manager Franz stated this is a policy.

Trustee Fasules asked if it is a policy that someone cannot concede their time. Trustee Fasules stated it is the Trustees' job to listen to the residents, and he does not like the three-minute restriction. Village Manager Franz stated the three-minute clock is well-vetted by municipal attorneys as it is a reasonable time to say what a resident is going to say while keeping a meeting moving along.

Trustee Fasules stated he is seeing alienation from the community due to this three-minute clock, and Trustee Senak agreed with this. President McGinley stated during the gas station discussions, the time was not being respected, and people were conceding their time, but were not at the meetings.

Trustee Pryde stated the policy needs to be flexible. President McGinley stated they would take this under advisement and look at this again.

I. Adjournment

At 10:00 p.m., a motion was made by Trustee Pryde and seconded by Trustee Ladesic to adjourn. Motion carried.

Upon roll call, Trustees Enright, Fasules, Ladesic, Pryde and Senak answered "aye."

Respectfully submitted, Debbie Soloman, Recording Secretary

Reviewed and approved, John A. Chereskin, Village Clerk