

MINUTES
Glen Ellyn Plan Commission
Thursday, December 12, 2024 at 7:00 PM
Glen Ellyn Civic Center
Galligan Board Room
535 Duane Street

A. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chairperson Tim Loftus called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM and explained the Plan Commission's function and operating procedure as an advisory board. He described the public hearing protocol including for public comment and announced that comments would be recorded.

Roll was called.

PRESENT: Chairperson Tim Loftus, Plan Commissioners Laura Brown, Peter Cooper, Ian Dawson and Adam Kreuzer

ABSENT: Commissioner Connie Arango, Sumita Makherjee, Gianni Pesce and Robert Wyant

Also in attendance: Trustee Kelley Kalinich; Jennifer Henaghan; Community Development Director, Daniel Harper, Planning Manager, Jordan Frahm; Associate Planner and Julie Settles, Recording Secretary.

B. Approval of Minutes

1. Approval of the September 26, 2024 Plan Commission Meeting Minutes

Commissioner Dawson stated that he would like added to the September 26, 2024 minutes that he believes Marinella's Ristorante should have their fees waived or returned to them.

Commissioner Dawson moved to approve the revised draft minutes of September 26, 2024 Plan Commission meeting; seconded by Commissioner Brown, the motion passed by voice vote all ayes.

2. Approval of the October 24, 2024 Plan Commission Meeting Minutes

Commissioner Cooper moved to approve the draft minutes of September 26, 2024 Plan Commission meeting; seconded by Commissioner Dawson, the motion passed by voice vote all ayes.

C. Public Comment (non-agenda items)

None.

D. New Business

1. Public Hearing – Sign Variation for Glen House Food Pantry 55 N. Park Blvd.

Commissioner Cooper moved to open the Public Hearing. Commissioner Kreuzer seconded the motion and the motion passed by an all ayes voice vote.

Sworn in, Jordan Frahm, Associate Planner for the Village of Glen Ellyn, introduced the request. The Glen Ellyn Food Pantry and Parvin-Clauss Sign Company have applied for a variation to allow two wall signs at 55 N. Park Boulevard. They are seeking relief from Sign Code Section 4-5-8(A) which allows one wall sign for each principal building open to the public (two principal buildings approved, pursuant to Ordinance No. 6855). He stated the Food Pantry is requesting a second sign to provide clarity and wayfinding in shared parking lot. He stated the sign location for the sign identified as sign one in the application faces towards Park Boulevard and is the smaller of the two signs at 2.5'X 5'. He stated the sign was originally conceived to be lower, but the applicant wanted to add an awning. The second sign is 4'X 8'. He stated it's the Plan Commission action to consider the petitioner's request for variations and make a recommendation to the Village Board for approval, approval with conditions or denial. He stated in reviewing the project, the PC may wish to recommend conditions requiring that:

1. The project shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the plans and drawings as submitted and the testimony presented at the December 12, 2024 Plan Commission Meeting.
2. Any required building permits shall be obtained in accordance with the Village's adopted Building Code and Fire Codes.

Commissioner Brown stated that there was a banner up in September for Hunger Action month and asked if that was allowed. Mr. Frahm answered there are allowances for temporary banners. He stated there is an approval process and they are allowed for fourteen days.

Commissioner Brown stated she was on the Commission when this first came through. It was to stay a parsonage as the deal was made with the church. She stated if a sign is put up it might impact the sense of the neighborhood. She stated she against the application to allow the sign variation.

Chairperson Loftus asked that with this location, is there going to be a code issue. He also asked if an illumination sign would be permitted. Mr. Frahm answered there would be no code issue and the application is for a non-illuminated sign. He stated in his discussion with the petitioner and the hours open, an illuminated sign isn't needed.

Sworn in, Paula Nugent, President of Food Pantry, stated the sign they had approval for is not placed on the building. She stated they didn't have it replaced because they were going to be changing the name. She stated the sign that was originally approved had illumination. It was requested that we had lighting. She stated she can add a light to this sign if the Commission wants that. She stated the State of Illinois gave them a grant to put a covering over the walkway. She stated that plans have not been submitted yet. She stated the height of the covering is the same height as the sign so that's why it has to be moved up. She stated they operate until 6:30PM two nights a week so the sign doesn't have to be illuminated. She stated there has never been a sign in the front but clients would be able to see if going north on Park. She stated that new

clients go into the church looking for the food pantry. She stated the church requested a sign on the food pantry.

Sworn in, Parker Roberts, Parvin-Clauss Sign Co, 165 Tubeway Dr Carol Stream, IL., stated they want to install one non-illuminated wall sign to the west elevation and one non-illuminated wall sign on the south elevation. He stated they made the sign in white to match the building.

Commissioner Cooper stated that role of the Commission is to determine if there is a unique situation to allow the variance. He asked what the unique circumstance is. Ms. Nugent answered that most businesses have a sign of some kind to know it was there. She stated that the Village asked the food pantry to consolidate the lot with the churches so they did and that meant we couldn't have a sign in the front yard. She stated the building looks like a house so new clients don't know where it is. Everything to the north and west is residential and in order to fit in the food pantry looks like a house. She stated everything is done behind the building.

Mr. Frahm stated that they share a lot with the church and there are one-way lanes so that's an obstacle. Commissioner Dawson asked Mr. Frahm if there was a maximum for signs in the rear of the lot. Mr. Frahm answered that properties are limited to four incidental signs. Ms. Nugent stated each drive is a one-way so the church has do not enter signs and one-way signs so the food pantry can't put one up in the rear.

Commissioner Dawson asked if the sign they are seeing in their paperwork is just a markup. Mr. Roberts answered yes that it's just superimposed on the building. Ms. Nugent stated the background of the sign is the same color as the house hoping the letters and logo stand out.

Chairperson Loftus asked what the front door is used for. Ms. Nugent answered that it is an emergency exit. She stated the clients come in the back door. She stated there is one light to illuminate the address.

Mr. Frahm stated the food pantry could have external illumination which is permissible by the code.

Chairperson Loftus stated if it was an illuminated sign, how is it going to affect the neighbors. He stated the house is far enough from the lot line. He stated it sounds like there is a loophole in the code. Mr. Frahm answered if they wanted to put in a light and it passes the photometric plan, they wouldn't have to come back.

Sworn in, Daniel Harper, Planning Manager for the Village of Glen Ellyn, stated they would be allowed external lighting. If it was a big flood light, it wouldn't pass the photometric lighting. They can have a small light. He stated the Commission could make a recommendation that the sign is not to be illuminated. Mr. Harper stated they are allowed one attached sign. The application is for two, one to replace and one to add. The one to add is facing Park.

Commissioner Kreuzer stated that any fixture would have to be fully shielded.

Chairperson Loftus stated the point is if the variation is granted, the back door grants them to have an illuminated sign.

Ms. Nugent stated they don't want the sign illuminated. Chairperson Loftus answered that the Commission has to put the restrictions in place now for in the future in case a future successor wants it lit.

Chairperson Loftus asked if there were any written comments. Mr. Harper answered no.

A motion was made by Commissioner Cooper to close the Public Hearing; Commissioner Dawson seconded the motion; which passed by an all ayes voice vote.

Commissioner Deliberation and Recommendation

Commissioner Kreuzer stated they presented their hardship with being part of the church and he believes that satisfied what they needed.

Chairperson Loftus stated he has no problems with the caveat that the Park sign has no lighting.

Commissioner Brown stated that she thinks the Commission is getting ahead of itself and this should be tabled until they do the awning. She stated she would like to see the minutes from when this was first approved. She stated she loves what the food pantry does, it's just the neighbors. She asked about notice procedures for sign variations. Mr. Harper stated that a notice was published in the newspaper and the Village was not required to mail a notice to the neighbors.

Commissioner Cooper asked if a canopy would have to come before the Commission. Mr. Frahm answered no that it's just a permit release. Chairperson Loftus advised the Commission to not look at the canopy as a condition.

A motion was made by Commissioner Brown to table the sign variance until the food pantry applies for the permit for the awning; none seconded the motion; motion is denied.

Chairperson Dawson stated he attended a meeting at the church and it was easy to find but wasn't easy was getting into the church. He stated he thinks this will be useful for the food pantry. He stated that he knows the plans are a markup, but he'd like to see smaller signage.

Commissioner Cooper stated that Commissioner Brown was the only one on the Commission at that time. He stated his concern was with a sign on Park as it suggests the front door is an entrance. If the people aren't familiar with the area and they see the sign, they may park on the street and try and go in the front door. He stated he is also concerned with the west facing sign as he thinks it will cause confusion on a busy street. Chairperson Loftus asked what if they added an arrow to the sign. Commission Cooper answered it probably wouldn't help and would look ugly.

Commissioner Kreuzer stated the sign should be placed to the south of the southernmost window. He stated he didn't know this was a food pantry. He stated there is fair way and need to let people know where the food pantry is.

Chairperson Loftus stated that when going south on Park it's hard to see the food pantry. He stated Commissioner Kreuzer came up with a good idea. Commissioner Cooper's comment about people parking on the street is correct, but it's not enforced around Glen Ellyn. He stated it is marked with a no parking sign on Park.

Commissioner Kreuzer moved to reopen the Public Hearing. Commissioner Cooper seconded the motion and the motion passed by an all ayes voice vote.

Chairperson Loftus stated it is physically possible to put the sign to the south of the southernmost window. Mr. Roberts said it could be placed there because it's siding material just like where they originally wanted to place the sign. Chairperson Loftus asked if they'd be willing to reduce the size of the sign. Ms. Nugent answered that it depended on how much the Commission wanted it reduced. Commissioner Kreuzer asked if the size mattered. He asked if the food pantry would be willing to relocate the sign. Ms. Nugent answered yes. She stated there needs to be a trigger for people to know the food pantry is there. Mr. Roberts stated he will do some measurements and come up with a new sign proposal.

A motion was made by Commissioner Dawson to close the Public Hearing; Commissioner Brown seconded the motion; which passed by an all ayes voice vote.

Chairperson Loftus stated that the petitioner is willing to relocate the sign and asked if that changed anything for the Commissioners. Commissioner Dawson stated it doesn't change his mind. He stated it in a residential area and he imagines that people can find the food pantry.

Commissioner Kreuzer made the motion:

After conducting a public hearing and deliberating on the requests of the petitioner, Glen House Food Pantry, for approval of a variation from Section 4-5-8(A) of the Glen Ellyn Sign Code to allow for two wall signs for a nonresidential establishment in lieu of the maximum one wall sign that is permitted for each principal building open to the public at the Plan Commission public hearing on December 12, 2024, which are hereby incorporated into the Motion by reference as though fully set forth herein, and a copy of which will be attached to the minutes of this meeting and kept on file as part of the permanent records of the Village. The Plan Commission makes this recommendation of approval with the following conditions:

- 1. The signs are not to be illuminated from above or below.**
- 2. The sign on the west side to be placed to the north of the southernmost window.**

Commissioner Cooper seconded the motion. Chairperson Loftus asked for a roll call vote. The motion passed on a roll call vote with three (3) ayes and two (2) nyes by Commissioners Brown and Dawson. The motion passes with conditions.

2. Public Hearing – Ackerman Park Special Use Permit and Zoning Variations associated with Pickleball Courts, and shade structures located at 800 St. Charles Road

Commissioner Kreuzer moved to open the Public Hearing. Commissioner Dawson seconded the motion and the motion passed by an all ayes voice vote.

Sworn in, Daniel Harper, Planning Manager for the Village of Glen Ellyn, stated the location is located in the Conservation/Recreation District and the petitioner is requesting approval of the following items associated with construction of pickleball courts and associated shade pavilions:

1. A Special Use Permit, specifically Sections 10-4-3(B), special uses within the CR District, and 10-10-14(A)(1) related to a use operated by a public agency
2. A variation from 10-5-5(B)(4)(12) to allow a fence height of eight feet
3. A variation from 10-5-5(B)(4)(16) to allow for a three hundred fourteen square foot gazebo
4. A variation from 10-5-5(B)(4)(16) to allow for a three hundred sixty square foot gazebo

Mr. Harper stated the pickleball courts will be located to the west of Akerman Sports and Fitness Center along with site improvements including a stormwater management area and two shade pavilions. Additionally, there will be proposed landscaping plantings including seven deciduous trees, a variety of deciduous shrubs and ornamental grasses. He stated it is the Plan Commission action to make a recommendation to the Village Board for approval, approval with conditions or denial. Also, in reviewing the project the PC may wish to recommend conditions requiring that:

1. The project shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the plans and drawings as submitted and the testimony presented at the December 12, 2024, Plan Commission meeting.
2. Any required building permits shall be obtained in accordance with the Village's adopted Building Code and Fire Codes.

Chairperson Loftus asked what fire codes are there in a pickleball court, if additional handicap spaces are being added. Mr. Harper answered the fire code is standard language and an additional handicap spots will be added close to the court area.

Commissioner Kreuzer asked to explain what's being done for the stormwater. Mr. Harper answered two small drainage basins are included. Plans have been submitted and reviewed by the Village Engineer and the proposal meets the DuPage County Stormwater Ordinance.

Chairperson Loftus asked if there were any public comments.

Sworn in, Nathan Troia, Director of Planning and Natural Resources-Glen Ellyn Park District, stated this is part of the referendum that was done in 2022 and had strong support from the residents. He stated one shade area is for players and the other shade area is to replace the temperature tent that is currently there. He stated there is adequate parking.

Commissioner Cooper stated the Village Comprehensive Plan talks about the Parks and Recreation Master Plan of 2014. He stated the pickleball courts don't appear in the Master Plan and asked if Parks and Recreation is going to update the Master Plan. Mr. Troia answered they have been working on doing that. He stated this sort of activity was in the plan but maybe pickleball was not said at that time.

Commissioner Cooper asked where the closest residence is. Mr. Troia answered it's the William's residence is the closest and there are woods in between the residence and the proposed courts. Commissioner Cooper stated pickleball could be loud and asked if there would be any impact on neighbors. Mr. Troia stated Hitchcock Design did a study and was determined it will not impact neighbors.

Chairperson Loftus asked if the park district evaluated any other spots on the property to put the courts. Mr. Troia answered the north area is for soccer and then there are the six softball fields and this was the only location that made sense.

Chairperson Loftus asked if there were any written comments received. Mr. Harper answered there is a letter from the DuPage County Forest Preserve stating the proposal is in compliance.

Chairperson Loftus asked if there were any public comments.

Sworn in, David Hartsell, Glen Ellyn resident of 714 Meredith Place, stated he is part of the public comment and would like to cross-examine the applicant and village staff. Mr. Hartsell asked Mr. Harper to put up the aerial photo and stated he has lived in Glen Ellyn for twenty-three years and loves the town and Ackerman Park. He stated he lives directly south of where the pickleball courts are going to be located and pickleball is loud and the frequency of the plastic ball hitting the plastic paddle is going to be an issue. He stated dozens of lawsuits have been filed. He stated he isn't a sound engineer and imagines no one here is either but he didn't see anything in the agenda that a noise assessment was done. Mr. Hartsell stated he talked to Mr. Troia and when you look at paragraph three it says five hundred feet from neighborhood. He stated he wasn't sure if it was five hundred feet from the north and west. Mr. Hartsell stated when he asked where the five hundred feet came from Mr. Troia sent him two articles. Mr. Hartsell stated that relying on distance alone is insufficient. Mr. Hartsell stated he's done research and stated 3,280 feet maybe necessary to mitigate the impact. Mr. Hartsell stated he's been a half a mile away in Florida in a golf town at ten at night and he could still hear it. He stated studies suggest that vegetation would have minimal impact on the noise. Mr. Hartsell stated that a proper study was not done. Mr. Hartsell stated another concern is the economic effects the courts will have on the neighborhood. He stated he asked Mr. Troia what the hours of pickleball will be and he answered 6AM-11PM. Mr. Hartsell stated he doesn't know if they plan to add lights. Mr. Hartsell stated there are other places in Glen Ellyn that have pickleball and is questioning if there is a need for it at Ackerman Park. Mr. Hartsell stated his concern is the noise and the lack of analysis on how the noise could impact the neighbors. He stated Hitchcock is a reputable firm, but he believes the application is incomplete and believes until he has answers, he doesn't see how the Commission can recommend this.

Chairperson Loftus asked if a noise study was required. Mr. Harper answered it was not required. Mr. Harper stated pickleball generally produces fifty-five decibels which would meet the requirements for sound but he has no study to provide specific to the application. Chairperson Loftus asked that the pickleball courts would have to be installed and then the sound could be measured. Mr. Harper answered correct.

Commissioner Kreuzer asked Mr. Hartsell if he spoke to other persons who live by pickleball courts or talked to any of his neighbors. Mr. Hartsell answered he did not but there are a dozen articles complaining about the noise and lawsuits filed. Mr. Hartsell stated he doesn't think you can plop it down without any analysis done.

Commissioner Brown stated she agrees with Mr. Hartsell and that Glen Ellyn has to get ahead of this with people putting sports courts in their yard.

Mr. Troia stated he was referencing the park ordinance that it's open to 11PM and that no lights will be added to the proposed courts.

Commissioner Cooper stated that Mr. Hartsell has gone over the three-minute requirement for public comment. He asked Mr. Harper in regards to the section 10-10-14 evaluating economic effects and noise, is there some standard the Commission is supposed to use. Mr. Harper answered it's the decibel sound at the property level. Chairperson Loftus stated there is no pre-requirement for any type of noise study.

Mr. Hartsell asked if he could cross-examine Mr. Harper. Mr. Hartsell asked if Mr. Harper is familiar with the code requirements in issuing a Special Use Permit. Mr. Harper answered yes. Mr. Hartsell asked if Mr. Harper is familiar with LaSalle decision of the Illinois Supreme Court. Mr. Harper answered yes. Mr. Hartsell asked Mr. Harper if he has the application in front of him. Mr. Harper answered yes. Mr. Hartsell stated the second page of the application in the first full paragraph where it says narrative statement evaluating the economic effects on adjoining property, the effect of such elements as noise, glare, odor, fumes and vibration with an answer of five hundred feet buffered by woodland asked if Mr. Harper sees that. Mr. Harper answered yes. Mr. Hartsell asked if Mr. Harper sees paragraph three where it says it will not be hazardous or disturbing to existing or future neighborhood uses and your answer was it is greater than the 500 feet. Mr. Harper answered yes. Mr. Hartsell reference paragraph six will not involve uses, activities, processes, material that will be detrimental to any persons, property or the general welfare by reason of excessive production of traffic, noise, smoke, fumes etc. it states noise is mitigated by distance and woodland buffer is that correct. Mr. Harper answered yes. Mr. Hartsell asked Mr. Harper if they are taking into consideration the impact of noise that would be generated by this application. Mr. Harper answered yes. Mr. Hartsell stated Mr. Harper has made reference to the Village's noise ordinances and asked if he is suggesting that those ordinances would limit this Commission's consideration of this Special Use Permit. Mr. Harper answered in 10-5-13 there is a maximum permitted sound pressure in levels of decibels so if we would have a study that showed the noise would be above that we would be able to regulate that. Mr. Hartsell asked Mr. Harper if he had that study. Mr. Harper answered no. Mr. Hartsell asked Mr. Harper that the Village has noise regulation like someone running a generator or a band or party. Mr. Harper answered yes. Mr. Hartsell asked Mr. Harper if the police could be called out. Mr.

Harper answered yes if it was causing a disturbance. Mr. Hartsell asked why would the Commission or Village Board be limited in its consideration of noise in the condition of a Special Use Permit by the fact that you have an ordinance saying a generator can only be a certain decibel. Mr. Harper answered they are not. Mr. Hartsell thanked Mr. Harper and stated that was all he wanted to hear.

Chairperson Loftus asked if that would require a whole sound study to be done.

Sworn in, Jennifer Henaghan, Community Development Director, stated there are performance standards in the zoning ordinance. She stated that these are designed to measure the sound that is being generated. It would be difficult to measure before the sound is there. She stated if the Village were to get a complaint, then the person making the noise would be required to have a study done.

Chairperson Loftus asked so the Village doesn't know how to put conditions for a potential noise problem and that it would have to be built and then if there were complaints. Ms. Henaghan answered that you couldn't measure a sound that doesn't exist yet.

Mr. Hartsell stated he'd like to cross-examine Ms. Henaghan. Mr. Hartsell asked if the performance standards Ms. Henaghan was referring to had any standards specific to pickleball. Ms. Henaghan answered it's any sound that happens in a special use. Mr. Hartsell asked if the noise standard made any distinctions between types of uses. Ms. Henaghan answered it's the same for any type of noise for any use. Mr. Hartsell stated that the sound can't be measured until it's there and asked if the Village has looked at any studies that have been done before. Ms. Henaghan answered that she couldn't say. Mr. Hartsell asked if she thought it would be helpful to ask Hitchcock. Ms. Henaghan answered if the Plan Commission wants more information they can make a request to do that.

Chairperson Loftus asked if there have been any complaints by neighbors where existing Pickleball courts are. Ms. Henaghan answered that she's not aware of any complaints but she is recently new to the Village. Mr. Troia stated he is not aware of any complaints in his five years employed with the Parks District.

Commissioner Buckton asked if the Village has looked at a pickleball ordinance. Ms. Henaghan answered not that she's aware of.

A motion was made by Commissioner Kreuzer to close the Public Hearing; Commissioner Dawson seconded the motion; which passed by an all ayes voice vote.

Commissioner Kreuzer requested a five-minute break. Chairperson Loftus stated the Commission would take a five-minute break and return at 9:14PM.

Meeting commenced.

Plan Commission Deliberations

Chairperson Loftus stated that he asked if there had been any complaints where there are existing pickleball courts and didn't hear any negatives. He stated he suggest the Commission follows how the code is written and make a decision. He stated the Commission has only heard from internet sources and the Commission should follow the ordinance.

Commissioner Cooper stated he would like to hear what the other Commissioners say.

Commissioner Kreuzer stated he thinks the Park District has been very informative and done their due diligence. He stated that having said that, the Commission is very careful about what we hear from the public. He stated the Commission has what they have in front of them and we don't have sufficient evidence on pickleball noise and this should be deliberated carefully.

Commissioner Dawson stated he is in support of the application and he can only go with what's in front of him.

Commissioner Brown stated she is appreciative when the Commission hears from residents. She stated she thinks the Village needs to get ahead of this especially for residents who want courts in their yard. She stated she would like the Village to talk to a pickleball sound specialist especially when it comes to residents having pickleball courts in their yards.

Commissioner Cooper stated he is reluctant to the Commission to say we have better judgement then they do. He stated he is not satisfied with let's build it and then see what happens, but we have no data from the petitioner or anyone else opposing the petitioner. He stated he's not comfortable about not having more information on the noise.

Commissioner Kreuzer stated the evidence the Commission has heard supports the Park District's request. He stated there is significant distance, a wood buffer, etc. He stated the Park District has satisfied their burden. He stated he thinks the Park District should bring more evidence to the Village Board. He stated he would like a condition of the approval to be that the petitioner bring more evidence to the Board that the noise will not affect the public.

Trustee Kalinich stated the higher fence would absorb some of the sound. She stated she didn't see details of the design, but that would be helpful for the Board. She stated some screens on the fencing are different and can block sound.

Chairperson Loftus stated that since Glen Ellyn has some existing pickleball courts already, lets have people go out and get some measurements. Commissioner Brown stated she thinks the Village should do the measurements and not the Park District.

Commissioner Kreuzer made the motion:

After conducting a public hearing and deliberating on the requests of the petitioner for approval of a Special Use Permit and Zoning Variations to allow for pickleball courts and shade structures open to the public at the Plan Commission public hearing on December

12, 2024, which are hereby incorporated into the Motion by reference as though fully set forth herein, and a copy of which will be attached to the minutes of this meeting and kept on file as part of the permanent records of the Village. The Plan Commission makes this recommendation of approval with the following conditions:

The petitioner is to bring more evidence to the Village Board regarding sound information with the construction of pickleball courts.

Commissioner Cooper seconded the motion. Chairperson Loftus asked for a roll call vote. The motion passed on a roll call vote with all ayes The motion passes with conditions.

E. Trustee Liaison's Report

Trustee Kalinich thanked the Commission for allowing her to be part of the meeting. She stated she's the liaison for the Historical Preservation Commission and Building Board of Appeals. She stated last Monday the Baker Hill signage was brought forward and denied. The Village Board approved the Boards and Commission dates for 2025. She stated there is a possibility they are going to move the Board Meetings from Monday to Tuesday. She stated the school board meeting are also on Mondays. She stated the Board is going to have a workshop to discuss this change. She stated there are currently three Commissions who also have their meetings on Tuesdays so that will be looked at as well. She stated micro mobility devises, e-bikes and scooters were talked about at the last workshop and the Board is looking into what neighboring communities are doing.

F. Chairman's Report

None.

G. Staff Report

Mr. Harper stated the following were approved by the Village Board: Glenbard West parking lot, 540 Duane, Marinella's, 580 Duane and the sign variation at 880 was denied.

Chairperson Loftus asked Mr. Harper that based on what we are seeing coming to Commissions and new items, will there still be one meeting per month for Commissions. Mr. Harper answered there maybe some special meeting presented.

H. Other Business

None.

I. Adjournment

Commissioner Dawson made a motion to adjourn the meeting: Commissioner Kreuzer seconded the motion and the motion passed by voice vote at 9:50 PM.