



Minutes
Village of Glen Ellyn
Village Board Special Meeting
Thursday, June 29, 2023
7:00 PM
Glen Ellyn Civic Center, Galligan Board Room

- A. Call to Order – 7:05 P.M.
- B. Pledge of Allegiance
- C. Roll Call

Upon roll call by Deputy Clerk Page, President Senak and Trustees Christiansen, Fasules, Kalinich, and Thompson answered "Present." Trustees Gould and Simon and Clerk Cosby were absent.

Also in attendance: Village Manager Franz, Village Attorney Mathews, Public Works Director Buckley, Finance Director Noller, Community Development Director Scott, Police Chief Norton, and Fire Chief Clark.

- D. Audience Participation

Katie Reichert, resident, spoke on the subject of affordable housing, and expressed her feeling that the Board was dismissive of the residents south of Roosevelt Road and their concerns about the property development. She gave some examples of distasteful experiences she and her family had when the hotel was still operating. She also expressed her opinion that more affordable housing is needed in the Village. She also feels that the north and south sides of Roosevelt Road are treated differently by the Board.

President Senak responded to Ms. Reichert's concerns.

Katie Johnson, resident, spoke about affordable housing, reiterating Ms. Reichert's safety concerns. She stated that she did not believe that low-income families inherently create problems but feels that the location on Roosevelt Road is not ideal for any residential development and gave her reasons for this opinion.

President Senak responded to Ms. Johnson's concerns.

President Senak added an item to the agenda – his first Executive Order. He read the order into the record, which concerned the Village Attorney reporting directly to the Board instead of the Village Manager.

E. Consent Agenda - The following items are considered routine business by the Village Board and will be approved in a single vote in the form listed below:

RESULTS:	APPROVED [4 to 0]
MOVER:	Trustee Kalinich [Items 1 – 5] with amendment to Item 3
SECONDER:	Trustee Thompson
AYES:	Kalinich, Thompson, Fasules, Christiansen
NAYS:	

Motion to approve the following items including Payroll and Vouchers totaling \$7,202,984.12. These agenda items have been reviewed by the Management Team and Village Attorney and are consistent with the Village's purchasing policy (Trustee Kalinich).

- 1) Total Expenditures (Payroll and Vouchers) - \$7,202,984.12
- 2) Motion to Approve Ordinance 7047-VC, An Ordinance to Amend the Liquor License Control Code Chapter 19 of Title 3, Section 11 (Classification of Licenses) Class C-5; and Section 12 (Restrictions on the Number of Licenses) to Increase the Number of C-5 Liquor Licenses to No More Than Two for Ko-Ze Wine Bar, 484 N. Main Street (Attorney Mathews)

The owners of Ko-Ze Wine Bar thanked the Board for approving the liquor license and felt that this will contribute to the Village. President Senak felt that the business is a wonderful addition to the downtown area.

- 3) Motion to Approve Ordinance 7048-VC, An Ordinance to Amend the Liquor License Control Code Chapter 19 of Title 3, Section 11 (Classification of Licenses) for Class A-7 (Attorney Mathews)

Trustee Kalinich asked for an amendment to reference the business Park and Oak Collected in order to be consistent with Item 2. There was agreement to this amendment.

- 4) Motion to Pass Resolution 23-06 to Accept an updated Mutual Air Box Alarm System Master Agreement (Chief Clark)

President Senak asked Fire Chief Clark for an update on this item. Chief Clark explained the history of the Mutual Air Box Alarm System Master Agreement and its benefit. He then explained why it needs to be updated.

- 5) Motion to authorize the Village Manager to execute a three (3) year contract with Hampton, Lenzini, and Renwick, Inc., of Elgin, Illinois, for engineering services not to exceed \$133,000.00 annually, subject to Village Attorney review (Community Development Director Scott) (Trustee Thompson)

F. Frank Johnson Center (Community Development Director Scott) (Trustee Thompson)

RESULTS:	APPROVED [4 to 1]
MOVER:	Trustee Thompson
SECONDER:	Trustee Kalinich
AYES:	Thompson, Kalinich, Fasules, President Senak
NAYS:	Christiansen

- 1) Motion to Approve Ordinance No. 7049, An Ordinance Granting Approval of a Special Use Permit, Zoning Variations, and Exterior Appearance for the Frank Johnson Center, located at 490 Kenilworth Avenue

Community Development Director Scott gave background on the proposed special use permit to address exterior appearance changes to the Frank Johnson Center.

Park District representatives gave a presentation detailing the history of the property and the proposed changes to the property that would replace the old maintenance facility.

Trustee Christiansen asked what the total impervious surface will be. The engineering team addressed this in the presentation. She wanted to confirm that 77% is the percentage. The reply was that just short of 77% would be impervious.

Trustee Christiansen wanted to know the cost difference of rehabbing the old building versus demolition and building new. A Park District representative replied about the viability of the options and the costs associated.

Trustee Christiansen asked what steps will be taken to reduce landfill waste associated with the tearing down of the building. The architectural/construction team, led by Mark Price, introduced themselves. It was suggested that presentation be given and any outstanding questions would be answered afterwards.

The presentation began with thanks to all involved in the project to this point. The presentation then continued, going into details of the project covered in the Board's packet information, as well as how the new facility will blend in with the existing residential area. It also included landscaping, parking, and water management.

Trustee Christiansen asked for clarification on the lot coverage percentage. The team replied. She then asked for further clarification since the percentage was not consistent across all sources. A Park District team member replied.

Trustee Christiansen asked for clarification of the tree removal and replanting plan. The Park District team replied.

Trustee Christiansen asked again about steps that will be taken to reduce landfill waste. The Park District team replied.

President Senak said he was happy to see that dark sky compliant lighting is being planned for the project. He also asked if there had been any discussion about attaining LEED certification, or following the principles, in general, for the design of the new facility. The team replied, pointing out energy efficiency measures that have been incorporated into the design.

President Senak brought up a possible grant for solar panels and asked if panels could be included in the design. The Park District team replied, yes, adding that the roof lines are positioned well for solar panels.

Trustee Kalinich noted that she really appreciates moving the vehicle access from Anthony to Kenilworth. She also liked the parking on Kenilworth for park visitors and wondered if there would be some sort of signage to let people know the spaces are available. She also wanted to know how the commercial vehicles would be accessing the parking lot, with her concern being young children near the park and the truck traffic. The Park District team replied with details of the access and its design. Trustee Kalinich suggested signage to let park users know about the potential truck traffic as well.

Trustee Christiansen gave an architectural history of the Center and voiced her concern that the new structure does not match that architectural design in the area. The amount of impervious surface concerned her as well.

Trustee Thompson said that the primary concern of residents in the area was the status of the park, which was cleared up by the Park District, to the residents' approval. He also said there was concern raised from the residents about the flooding issues, and that the Park District addressed this concern and resolved it.

Trustee Thompson added that as far as the architecture, he didn't think it "didn't" fit in but wasn't sure if it could fit in better.

Trustee Kalinich stated that she likes the design. She felt that the new structure will suit the Park District's needs better. She added that this will enhance the block.

Trustee Kalinich asked about the site in relation to the Scripture Press property, specifically the trees and preserving them.

**G. Special Use Permits for Dish Wireless (Community Development Director Scott)
(Trustee Thompson)**

RESULTS:	APPROVED [4 to 1]
MOVER:	Trustee Thompson
SECONDER:	Trustee Fasules
AYES:	Thompson, Fasules, Kalinich, President Senak
NAYS:	Christiansen

- 1) Motion to Approve Ordinance No. 7050, An Ordinance Granting Approval of a Special Use Permit to allow the addition of DISH Wireless 5G capable antennas and support equipment to an existing cellular tower at 415 Taft Avenue

RESULTS:	APPROVED [4 to 1]
MOVER:	Trustee Thompson
SECONDER:	Trustee Fasules
AYES:	Thompson, Fasules, Kalinich, President Senak
NAYS:	Christiansen

- 2) Motion to Approve Ordinance No. 7051, An Ordinance Granting Approval of a Special Use Permit to allow the addition of DISH Wireless 5G capable antennas and support equipment to an existing cellular tower at 1102 Hill Avenue

Community Development Director Scott gave a presentation on each location, including the history of moving the ordinances through the approval process, as well as case law relevant to the approval requests.

Trustee Christiansen said a couple letters received from DISH and Crown Castle employees stating that they will comply with all FCC requirements were incomplete, so she was unclear if all required reports have been completed and are available. The petitioner's legal representative replied that all have been completed and that all will comply with FCC regulations. Trustee Christiansen followed up by asking if the reports were available to for the Board to review. The petitioner's legal representative replied that he believed they were not submitted with the application information and that they were not required by law. He then went into further detail about the application process. There was some back and forth on the subject.

Trustee Thompson asked if as their attorney, wouldn't the advice be to provide the information a municipality was asking for in order to be more forthcoming. The petitioner's legal representative replied.

Trustee Christiansen asked what evidence there was to support DISH's claim of gaps in service. The petitioner's legal representative replied. Trustee Christiansen followed up with another question about adequate coverage in the Village. Discussion ensued between all parties and included reasons why the petitioner's request cannot be denied and the criteria that is considered in denial/approval.

There was a conclusion reached that the Village has no legal reason to deny the applications as currently conceived.

Trustee Thompson asked of the petitioner's representatives: 1) does the application comply with all Federal law; 2) does it comply with all State of Illinois law; 3) does it comply with all Village of Glen Ellynn law and code. The petitioner's representatives both replied with the opinions that it does o all three questions.

Trustee Kalinich asked Attorney Mathews, of the two motions, are either one not within the Board's jurisdiction to act upon. Attorney Mathews replied. She then asked if either motion should be modified in any way. Attorney Mathews replied.

President Senak asked if there are any legal challenges to the FCC guidelines that could invalidate them. The petitioner's representative replied not that he was aware of and gave some additional insight. President Senak continued the discussion with follow-up

questions about legal challenges. Debate ensued and included the petitioner's legal representative as well.

Kelly North, resident, thanked the Board for their efforts. She then spoke on the health hazards of 5G, and that they are real. She then gave examples about how unsafe 5G is for people and asked for a denial of the request. President Senak followed up about one of the examples she gave, asking if there was any medical testimony given that linked 5G to health issues. Ms. North replied.

Ellen Snelling, resident, wanted to share information on the safety of 5G. Her comments are attached.

Nancy Perlman, resident, asked for a no vote on the two approval requests. Her submitted comments are attached.

Angel Oakley, resident, gave her opposing opinion on the 5G motions up for approval. She questioned the safety of 5G and felt the petitioners need to prove that it is safe, which they have not.

Patrick Van Tiem, resident, gave his thoughts on 5G and the health concerns involved. He said he appreciates President Senak's concern for the safety of the residents of the Village. He then gave his opinion on the safety issues of 5G and added that he felt the Board should vote no.

President Senak asked the petitioner's legal representative, if these proposals are denied, will his clients sue the Village of Glen Ellyn. The petitioner's legal representative replied that he did not know. President Senak followed up asking if they had sued, or threatened to sue, other municipalities. The petitioner's legal representative replied and added insight about the correct procedure.

President Senak asked the petitioner's legal representative, if the motions are denied, does the petitioner have the right to sue the Village of Glen Ellyn. The petitioner's legal representative replied, yes.

Village Manager Franz read into record an electronic comment from Raymond Cesca, resident, attached.

Village Manager Franz read into record an electronic comment from Jori Kopck, resident, attached.

Village Manager Franz read into record an electronic comment from Laurie Cooley, resident, attached.

Village Manager Franz read into record an electronic comment from Heidi Hanson, resident, attached.

Village Manager Franz read into record an electronic comment from Shannon Cavazos, resident, attached.

Trustee Thompson asked if the Village has the capability to request monitoring of the antennas in Glen Ellyn, and who monitors the equipment. Attorney Mathews said that the Village can hire whoever they want to monitor emissions. He talked about the

Hinsdale settlement agreement and reiterated that the only thing that cannot be used to deny the two motions being put forward tonight is 5G in general. He added that this is the only reason, so far, that has been given to deny the motions.

Trustee Thompson wanted to know if there was data about the emissions of the towers, and if yes, is it available to the Village and how does the Village know that the information is accurate. Attorney Mathews replied. Discussion ensued.

Trustee Kalinich asked, if the motions are approved and new information is learned at a later date, does the Board have any ability to reconsider the approval. Attorney Mathews replied. Discussion ensued.

Trustee Christiansen asked if the Board could add conditions to the ordinances stipulating that this in no way allows for 5G small cell technology. Attorney Mathews replied that cannot be a condition. Discussion ensued.

A resident that had already spoken got up and added additional thoughts on stealth, unpermitted small cell installations. Attorney Mathews gave his legal opinion.

Trustee Thompson stated that he was not excited about approving or denying the Special Use permits and felt that the Village Board has no choice but to approve. He stated that his priority is to protect the citizens of Glen Ellyn and felt he cannot do that because the laws do not put him in a position to do so. He felt that drawing the approval out and getting lawyers involved with lawsuits won't help.

Trustee Kalinich stated that she will vote for this because she is not sure the health evidence is compelling but felt more research into the matter is needed. She wondered what kind of monitoring the Village could consider that isn't tied to the approval. President Senak agreed that more research is needed and all agreed that this should be a proactive activity.

Trustee Fasules reminded everyone of the distinction between this and small cell towers. And because of the federal regulations on this matter, the Village has no jurisdiction.

Trustee Christiansen was concerned about the information in the packet and the missing items. She felt that the FCC does not give the Village a choice and that the Board still does not have all the information they need.

Before casting his vote, President Senak reiterated that this matter is not about the safety of 5G, but about macro tower usage within the Village code, and as regulated by the FCC. He said that the issue will continue to be monitored.

H. Reminders

- 1) Village Board Special Workshop July 10, 2023 at 7 pm at Glen Ellyn Police Department Community Room

Trustee Kalinich asked if the Board would get the Housing Assessment in advance. Village Manager Franz replied.

Trustee Fasules asked about Chief Norton's update on the 4th of July, specifically that the Village did not receive enough donations to cover the cost of the parade. He hoped that the Village will fill that gap. President Senak replied. Discussion

ensued.

Trustee Christiansen requested an agenda item for the next Board meeting – to rescind Executive Order 2023-01 concerning the Village Attorney reporting directly to the Village Board. Trustee Thompson seconded the motion. President Senak objected. Discussion ensued.

Trustee Kalinich thought that Executive Order 2023-01 needed more discussion and that her objection is based on the fact that coming into tonight's meeting, she had no knowledge that it was going to be presented. Trustee Fasules suggested July 10th as the date for further discussion.

I. Adjourn – 10:05 P.M.

Mover: Trustee Fasules; Second: Trustee Kalinich. Unanimous vote to adjourn.

Mark Franz

From: noreply@civicplus.com
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2023 8:45 AM
To: Mark Franz; Emily Rodman; Penni Cannova; Village Clerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Village Board Public Comment Form

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Village Board Public Comment Form

If you wish to offer public comment, or if you would like your name read into the record with a stated position, please complete this form. Per the Village's typical audience participation policy, members of the public are welcome to speak for up to three minutes.

First Name	Raymond
Last Name	Cesca
Organization	Self
Email Address	raymondcesca@gmail.com
Address	46 Mockingbird Lane
City	Oak Brook
State	IL
Zip Code	60523
Meeting Name	Special Board Meeting
Is your comment related to an agenda item?	Yes
Agenda Item or Subject	Application on two macro towers

Comment

The concept of a Smart City sounds exciting and very modern using the state of the art electronic and digital technologies. But lurking in the background are the unproven impacts of this technology on human health and safety as the infrastructure is rapidly being deployed. As Senator Richard Blumenthal said at a Senate hearing with five leaders from the wireless industry on the future of this advancing technology that "there is really no research going on. Not one dollar of research is going on as far as health and safety is concerned. So, we are flying blind." So, as you make decisions about the Smart City concept and the installation of two macro towers, you are asking the residents of Glen Ellyn to be a test case with no assurance that it will be safe for them. We cannot rely on what the FCC says since the US Courts of Appeals of the DC Circuit found them guilty of not protecting the public's health by not setting new safety standards on this advancing technology. There standards are 27 years behind the times as far as safety is concerned.

So, I am recommending that you not approve the application for two macro towers and place the residents of Glen Ellyn in jeopardy of this untested technology on human health and wellbeing.

"Choices made, whether bad or good, follow you forever and affect everyone in their path one way or another." — J.E.B. Spredemann

**Your comments will be placed on file and
read into the record.**

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

Mark Franz

From: noreply@civicplus.com
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2023 1:52 PM
To: Mark Franz; Emily Rodman; Penni Cannova; Village Clerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Village Board Public Comment Form

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Village Board Public Comment Form

If you wish to offer public comment, or if you would like your name read into the record with a stated position, please complete this form. Per the Village's typical audience participation policy, members of the public are welcome to speak for up to three minutes.

First Name	Jori
Last Name	Kopck
Organization	Self
Email Address	joriekopacek@gmail.com
Address	park plz
City	Glen ellyn
State	IL
Zip Code	60137
Meeting Name	Village meeting 6/29/23
Is your comment related to an agenda item?	Yes
Agenda Item or Subject	the special use permits to Dish Wireless and Crown Castle.

Comment

Hello,

My name is Jori kopck and I'm a Glen Ellyn resident. I would first like to thank the board for all you do to serve our community. I'm sure your position is oftentimes a thankless one but understand you have the gratitude of many residents behind you.

It's come to my attention that the board will be discussing 5G small cells in the meeting this evening.

I am respectfully asking the board to vote NO on the special use permits to Dish Wireless and Crown Castle.

I have many concerns regarding the implementation of 5G small cells in our village.

Property values and the impact and quality of health of all Glen Ellyn residence are of an utmost concern of mine.

An increasing number of people do not want to live near cell towers. Many media outlets are reporting on a measurable decrease in property values as a direct result of 5G towers being in proximity of a residence. In some areas with new towers property values have been reportedly decreased by up to 20%!!

Glen Ellyn has long been a treasured neighborhood for many families to call home. Arguably one of the best suburbs to raise a family. The development of 5G small cells in Glen Ellyn does not provide the best for residents.

Approving the implementation of these requested small cells now, will only open the door for more companies set up shop in Glen Ellyn in the future. Where is our line in the sand!?

2 small cells now, what about 10 small cells? How about small cells on every neighborhood block?

Approving this permit today will fast track Glen Ellyn to becoming a smart city and that is not something I nor many other residents of Glen Ellyn wish to be a part of.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

**Your comments will be placed on file and
read into the record.**

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

Mark Franz

From: noreply@civicplus.com
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2023 2:18 PM
To: Mark Franz; Emily Rodman; Penni Cannova; Village Clerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Village Board Public Comment Form

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Village Board Public Comment Form

If you wish to offer public comment, or if you would like your name read into the record with a stated position, please complete this form. Per the Village's typical audience participation policy, members of the public are welcome to speak for up to three minutes.

First Name	Laurie
Last Name	Cooley
Organization	<i>Field not completed.</i>
Email Address	lcooley88@gmail.com
Address	705 Kingsbrook glen
City	Glen Ellyn
State	United States (+1)
Zip Code	60137
Meeting Name	Village Trustee - 5G towers
Is your comment related to an agenda item?	Yes
Agenda Item or Subject	5G towers

Comment

Thank you for your service to our community and for taking the time to listen to our comments and concerns. I'm sorry I cannot be here in person, but appreciate the opportunity to provide a public comment.

I am asking that you please reject the special use permit for 5G capable antennas in Glen Ellyn.

I realize that you are all serving on the board because you want what's best for our community. The powerful attorneys at Crown Castle have threatened to pursue litigation if this is not approved. However, our first duty is to our residents and community.

I have been a long time resident of Glen Ellyn, and my step children were raised here. My daughter spent two summers working for Willowbrook Wildlife Center in the baby bird quarantine section – this left such an impression on her, she is now the president of the ornithology club at CSU.

I bring this up because everyone thinks that all technology is for the greater good. Putting aside the potential health effects on humans for a moment - did you know that

- According to the Environmental Health Trust – wireless radiation can affect the migration, orientation, reproduction and survival of many animals, birds, bats and bees?

- Also - the Wall Street Journal reports a 20% reduction in property values in areas surrounding 5G towers

Crown Castle has already said they'd apply for additional micro antennas. Wireless companies do not need to get permission from homeowners before installing these. These are attached to trees, poles and often times, situated in boxes in residents' front yards. They are eyesores and noisy. I would not want one of these in my front yard – would you?

If you are afraid of a lawsuit from DISH or Crown Castle – are you as concerned about the pushback you will get when the residents realize what has been approved and what is coming their way?

There are lawsuits across the country where villages just like Glen Ellyn are pushing back against this barrage. Residents are joining arms to pursue legal action and successfully obtaining halts to these operations until the authorities can provide concrete evidence these things do not exposure them

to adverse effects on health, property values and the environment.

It is no secret that the FCC has been ignoring the 2019 mandate to ensure technology doesn't do health and environmental damage, but attorneys for these villages are fully aware of this failure and are successfully fighting these takeovers.

We must stand together and be unafraid to say no.

Please remember - Fear is only temporary – regret lasts forever.

Thank you.

**Your comments will be placed on file and
read into the record.**

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

Mark Franz

From: noreply@civicplus.com
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2023 3:47 PM
To: Mark Franz; Emily Rodman; Penni Cannova; Village Clerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Village Board Public Comment Form

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Village Board Public Comment Form

If you wish to offer public comment, or if you would like your name read into the record with a stated position, please complete this form. Per the Village's typical audience participation policy, members of the public are welcome to speak for up to three minutes.

First Name Heidi

Last Name Hanson

Organization self

Email Address heh70@hotmail.com

Address 4721 Franklin Ave.

City Western Springs

State Illinois

Zip Code 60558

Meeting Name Board Meeting

Is your comment related to an agenda item? Yes

Agenda Item Special Use Permits for Dish Wireless
or Subject

Comment

Glen Ellyn Trustees meeting June 29, 2023 – Heidi Hanson comments

Thank you for allowing this public comment.

You are about to make a decision that will impact your residents for years to come. It is similar to a decision my village, Western Springs, and an adjoining village, Hinsdale, had to make. I would like to tell you a little about that experience.

First, we (the villages and the residents) were told that the telecommunication transmitters would comply with the current FCC limits. (Note: those limits may not be strong enough to protect health and the environment). The villages asked for more than just a statement that the transmitters would meet the limits. They asked for a radiofrequency engineer's report to prove it. The report they were given only showed the amount of radiation if the transmitters were being used at their lower level. It did not show the worst case scenario, which of course, is what everyone is interested in. What is the point of seeing the data on a little bit of radiation if the transmitter is actually going to be emitting a lot more. But it shows you the difficulty the villages had in getting useful information. The villages never did find out how much radiation will be coming off the transmitters.

The villages also asked that the owners of the transmitters monitor the radiation to prove it was complying with the FCC limits. The FCC limits are the law, so it is reasonable to ask the owners to prove that they are not violating the law. The owners fought against this provision and threatened to take the villages to court if the villages insisted on the owners monitoring the amount of radiation they were creating. Then the owners offered a compromise. They would let the villages monitor if the villages gave the owners notice in advance. The problem with this is that if the transmitters can be turned up or down remotely then they can be turned down just before they are monitored. It could be easy to "game the system." The villages refused to accept that. It finally ended with our villages being allowed to monitor the radiation without notice.

Second, we were told that 5G is safe. You, as a local board, do not have the expertise to make a decision on 5G safety, but you do deserve to get accurate information. And what you are being told may not be accurate. Is it safe? The World Health Organization classifies radiation as a possible carcinogen.

The National Institutes of Health says "5G technologies are far less studied for human or environmental effects ...Because this is the first generation to have cradle-to-grave lifespan exposure to this level of man-made microwave (RF EMR) radiofrequencies, it will be years or decades before the true health consequences are known."

In 2021 the U.S. D.C. Court of Appeals ordered the FCC to rethink its radiation limits after finding that the FCC had ignored current scientific studies on radiation. See *Env Health Trust v. FCC*.

The companies who wanted to install transmitters in our villages referred us to an article in The New York Times about 5G safety. But they never mentioned that the newspaper had a Verizon Journalism Lab, or that BlackRock (and investment company) owned a large percent of both the telecom company and the newspaper, or that the Press Council of Ireland had found that the article "in not taking more account of scientific research that raised concerns about the impact on human health of radio waves, breached the accuracy requirements."

Except for the telecommunications industry and those being owned or paid by it, virtually no one is saying that 5G is safe. Most of the governmental agencies are saying that "we don't know if it is safe." And that alone is very concerning.

Third, the villages were told that the law gave them no options and they had to agree to everything the telecom transmitter owners wanted. Our village attorneys did a good job of writing a strong small cell ordinance, but in the end, they gave in to threats of litigation (which threats had been going on for three years). They agreed to dismantle parts of the ordinance rather than go to court and explain to a judge why

asking for more information, or monitoring, or even requiring the owners to prove they had a right to install transmitters on private land, did not exceed the village's municipal powers. The provisions the villages wrote into their ordinances had never been tested in an Illinois court so we can't know who would have won those issues. Our villages never even tried, They gave up too soon. They could have won.

What the villages did right:

Initially they wrote a strong small cell ordinance (although ultimately, they surrendered many of the provisions just to avoid litigation)

They posted information on permit applications and actions online and offered push notifications of that information for residents who wanted it

They committed to pay for some monitoring for compliance with FCC limits

What the villages did wrong:

They did not seek specific radiofrequency scientific, engineering, and legal expertise

They did not consider the protections that IL environmental law and the IL Constitution affords their residents

They surrendered their authority too easily

Initially they held private, secret meetings with telecommunications representatives

In conclusion, please consider the following: Do you know how much radiation will be reaching your residents? Do you know if it will comply with the FCC limits, under the worst case scenario? Do you know if it will comply with NEPA? Do you know if your residents will have the "healthful environment" that is a right guaranteed by the Illinois Constitution?

I urge you to insist on getting answers. Retain a knowledgeable radiofrequency engineer and an attorney who is experienced in telecommunications litigation to assist you.

Respectfully submitted, Heidi Hanson Retired environmental attorney

The footnotes did not come through on the document so I have copied them below.:

. 5 G wireless telecommunications expansion: Public health and environmental implications - PubMed (nih.gov) 1/16/2018

<https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/opinions.nsf/FB976465BF00F8BD85258730004EFDF7/%24file/20-1025-1910111.pdf>

Professor Tom Butler and The Irish Times – The Irish Times 2/6/2020

Your comments will be placed on file and read into the record.

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

Mark Franz

From: noreply@civicplus.com
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2023 4:21 PM
To: Mark Franz; Emily Rodman; Penni Cannova; Village Clerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Village Board Public Comment Form

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Village Board Public Comment Form

If you wish to offer public comment, or if you would like your name read into the record with a stated position, please complete this form. Per the Village's typical audience participation policy, members of the public are welcome to speak for up to three minutes.

First Name	Shannon
Last Name	Cavazos
Organization	N/A
Email Address	shannonsky03@yahoo.com
Address	800 S. Sheridan Dr.
City	Bartlett
State	IL
Zip Code	60103
Meeting Name	Special Village Board Meeting
Is your comment related to an agenda item?	Yes
Agenda Item or Subject	Special Use Permits for Dish Wireless

Comment

I am NOT in support of the special use permits for Dish Wireless. Outdoor levels of RF are increasing due to the densification of wireless networks. A gap in 5G coverage does NOT constitute a gap in coverage. Wireless networks can easily be hacked and compromised. We should instead be supporting the use of hard wired connections and fiber optic cable connectivity as used by banks and other security agencies for this reason – particularly because this is in close proximity to a school. Glen Ellyn is setting a precedent for other communities in Illinois and the weight of this impact needs to be considered as well.

**Your comments will be placed on file and
read into the record.**

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

Mark Franz

From: noreply@civicplus.com
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2023 5:23 PM
To: Mark Franz; Emily Rodman; Penni Cannova; Village Clerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Village Board Public Comment Form

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Village Board Public Comment Form

If you wish to offer public comment, or if you would like your name read into the record with a stated position, please complete this form. Per the Village's typical audience participation policy, members of the public are welcome to speak for up to three minutes.

First Name	Ellen
Last Name	Snelling
Organization	<i>Field not completed.</i>
Email Address	snelling@comcast.net
Address	812 Saddlewood Drive
City	Glen Ellyn
State	IL
Zip Code	60137
Meeting Name	5G Permits - Crown Castle
Is your comment related to an agenda item?	Yes
Agenda Item or Subject	Stop 5G in Glen Ellyn

Comment

Hi, I'm Ellen Snelling – resident of Glen Ellyn since 2005. Raised two children in D41. I'd like to thank you for your time and generous public service. I came to my first meeting last month because this issue is so critical and bigger than many understand; and that's exactly what I observed last meeting. I witnessed more time spent debating the potential unsightliness of the wildlife center's 8-foot ornamental fence than the enormous health and freedom risks 5G technology imposes on society. I'm here to help share awareness.

The issue before you tonight is the most important you've ever reviewed because it affects humanity at large. It's far bigger than two eye-sore towers or protecting home values. Or the lawyer's off base "the tower is already there and people accept it" comment heard repeatedly. The radiation levels of yesteryear cannot compare to what 5G brings. The FCC hasn't formally published the health impact from cell phone/tower radiation since 1996. The decision before you tonight is about protecting human values; speaking up when things are moving too fast and don't make sense; and protecting the quality of life of all living creatures and freedom as we know it.

5G has nothing to do with improving device performance for residential customers. It's about human surveillance and control. And to provide the level of surveillance and control sought, we must have unsightly prison security-like towers scattered every mile with an array of smaller supporting cell towers and wireless antennas on our businesses, homes and school parkways, further supported by camera-equipped roadways and intersections. All for a SMART City that no one asked for. SMART stands for Self-Monitoring Analysis and Reporting Technology. When did people's every move become so interesting to monitor and why? And who is so interested? The oddities we've experienced since 2020 haven't escaped any of us. Now we know the intentionally-launched Covid, the ineffective masking and mandated toxic injections were the first steps in a well-orchestrated global depopulation/population control agenda, to which 5G technology plays a huge role. And the compliance to all of it proved promising.

Covid quarantine allowed Big Tech to construct ominous towers in the middle of the night while no one was looking. Suddenly they were there. The infrastructure quietly built across the land for large sums of money.

And when you consider the people calling the shots are the same ones that own the heavily censored media, you have to be careful from where you gather the truth. Your truth; the truth that sits well within you. I assure you the people behind this technology are not good people, nor are they well intended. But they do pay well.

Glen Ellyn's 5G support is an in-road to a 15-Minute SMART City where all freedoms are stripped away. A SMART city has nothing to do with pedestrian safety or better traffic flow. That's the PR version. The World Economic Forum, mostly unelected elites led by Klaus Schwab and Bill Gates, decided that we will "own nothing and be happy"; that everything we need, including people, can be acquired within a 15-minute radius. We will dwell in a barricaded plot of land that requires a smart phone, QR codes, voice/facial recognition, vaccination status, and behavior-driven social credit score to move about life through a series of locked turn styles. Your behavior-driven social credit score determines your available digital currency to make every purchase, including a limited selection of lab-created GMO/mRNA contaminated meat and produce. If you'd like to go beyond the 15-Minute City limits, you'll need special permission, a borrowed electric car, and enough good behavior reward points for the short-term freedom. Sounds like a far cry from the quaint life in Glen Ellyn. This lifestyle already exists in China, the blueprint of things to come.

What I just described is a shocking, well-documented truth. We are in unprecedented times that very much resemble a bad sci-fi movie. You have an active role in a life changing event, to which you can turn a blind eye or fight for our sovereignty. Your voice is important, especially if it's not the popular voice. It's not easy speaking against the narrative, but it puts you on the right side of history. Please consider the big picture and the impact your decision has on humanity. Make Glen Ellyn the city that leads by example.

TY

**Your comments will be placed on file and
read into the record.**

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

Nancy Perlman Public Comment on 6-29-23

Good evening President Senak and Village Trustees. I so appreciate each of you for your dedicated service to Glen Ellyn. I'm Nancy Perlman, a resident of Glen Ellyn for over 21 years.

My ask of you tonight is to vote no and not approve the two special use permits to Crown Castle and Dish Wireless. These permits, if granted, are not beneficial to our village and our village didn't ask for this service. Hinsdale, Western Springs, and Clarendon Hills didn't ask Crown Castle and Verizon to come in and put small cells with the power of macro towers to be placed in front of their homes, schools, and businesses. So how can that even happen then? This is America after all. Our Illinois and U.S. Constitutions are written to protect our communities and the environment from anyone or anything that could be harmful to our health, our property values, our privacy, and so on.

Crown Castle and Verizon are bullies. They came into those villages and said if you don't let us install our equipment in your villages and take out radio frequency monitoring and other things out of your ordinance, we will sue you and take you to federal court and put our poles wherever we want. I witnessed this. If their products and services were so good and so safe, why would they have to do that? I don't know how it is even acceptable or allowable for companies to threaten citizens like this. This is no way to run a business.

Now Crown Castle and Dish Wireless wants to make our beautiful village into a smart city. How are they bullying our village? Crown Castle does not tell the truth in their written materials to the municipalities by saying 5G is safe. I keep saying to them. Prove it. Show us the studies. There is enough evidence proving 3G, 4G is harmful. Now information is coming out that 5G is not safe as well.

Yes, I am talking about health and the environment tonight. There's much more than property values and privacy at stake. There are tens of millions of people globally who have had their health injured from the accumulated exposure to this technology when one day their body just couldn't tolerate the wireless anymore. Some never find the answers to recover their health. Many can't participate in society anymore and suffer quietly in their pain. Some find answers and spend many years working on their healing to reclaim their life. I was one of those people. I am still on my healing journey. I know what the truth is because I have lived the truth. The numbers of people whose health is injured are growing rapidly per doctors and scientists and EMF conferences that share this information. Not to mention the many people I know personally whose health has been greatly impacted.

We the people know what is going on and we are watching very closely. One day in the near future the bullying will be made to stop. The radio frequency microwave radiation levels will be brought down to safe limits, or – your macro tower and small cells will be removed or perhaps turned off and made into beautiful planters with flowers. We'll never back down because the cost is too great to all living things in our environment. The Telecom Act of 1996 and other harmful laws will be amended or removed, including our Illinois law "The Small Wireless Facilities Deployment Act. We the people will have our day when we have our say for what we do want with no bullying and no threats. It will be a peaceful and thoughtful exchange with this industry. That day will come.

Trustees, tonight I ask that you vote no to the special use permits and reviewing any applications until the FCC reviews everything the DC Circuit Court of Appeals said they have to and create safe levels for our beautiful earth.

Respectfully submitted,


Nancy Perlman